arXiv:0710.3401v3 [math.PR] 20 Nov 2008

DUALITY, VECTOR ADVECTION AND THE NAVIER-STOKES EQUATIONS

Z. BRZEŹNIAK AND M. NEKLYUDOV

Abstract. In this article we show that three dimensional vector advection equation is self dual in certain sense defined below. As a consequence, we infer classical result of Serrin of existence of strong solution of Navier-Stokes equation. Also we deduce Feynman-Kac type formula for solution of the vector advection equation and show that the formula is not unique i.e. there exist flows which differ from standard flow along which vorticity is conserved.

The purpose of this paper is twofold. The first one is to establish a certain self-duality formula for a vector advection equation in the space $\mathbb{R}^3$. This formula can be understood as generalization of the helicity invariance for the Euler equations , see Corollary 2.13 and Remark 2.14. As a byproduct, see Corollary 2.13, we give a new proof of the classical result of Serrin [29] about the uniqueness of a weak solution to the Navier-Stokes equations (NSEs for short)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + (u\nabla)u &= \nu\triangle u + \nabla p + f \\ \operatorname{div} u &= 0 \\ u(0) &= u_0 \end{aligned} \tag{0.1}$$

satisfying certain additional integrability condition. The second one, see Theorem 3.12, is to establish the existence of non-classical flows along with which the circulation of the solution of the vector advection equation is conserved in the mean. This problem seems to us important because it could potentially lead to the new a priori estimates of the solution of vector advection equation.

The importance of the vector advection equation stems from the fact that it appears in many different areas of hydrodynamics, e.g. the vorticity of a strong solution of the 3-dimensional NSEs is its solution. Moreover, the major obstacle in proving the global existence of a strong solution to the NSEs is the appearance of the ”vorticity stretching” term

---

Date: November 20, 2008.
1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35Q30,60H30,76D05.
Key words and phrases. Navier-Stokes equations, Feynman Kac formula, Vector advection.
The research of the second named authour was supported by an ORS award.

in the vector advection equation. It is necessary to underline that in a simpler case of the scalar advection equation, this conceptually important term is not present and therefore the self-duality and other properties described in this paper do not hold. Another application of the vector advection equation is the equation for magnetic field in MHD equations, see e.g. [24].

Let us describe briefly the main contributions of the paper.

In the first part of our paper we study the following vector advection equations

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial F(t,x)}{\partial t} &= -\nu(AF)(t,x) \\ &- [\mathrm{P}((v(t,x)\nabla)F(t,x) - \nabla F(t,x)v(t,x))](t,x) + f(t,x),\ x\in\mathbb{R}^d, \\ F(0,x) &= F_0(x),\ x\in\mathbb{R}^d, \end{aligned} \tag{0.2}$$

where $v:[0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{R}^d$ is a given time-dependent vector field, $d=3$, P is the Helmholtz projection onto the divergence free vector fields and A is the Stokes operator. As usual by $\mathbb{H}^{k,2}_{\text{sol}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $d\in\mathbb{N}$, we denote the space of all divergence free vector fields that belong to the Sobolev space $\mathbb{H}^{k,2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Let us denote by $\mathcal{T}^v_T$ the transport operator along v, i.e. $\mathcal{T}^v_t F_0 = F(t)$, for $t\geq 0$, where f is the unique solution to problem (0.2). The main result here is Theorem 2.7 in which we formulate the following self-duality formula.

$$(\operatorname{curl} F_0, \mathcal{T}^{S_T v}_T G_0)_H = (\operatorname{curl}\mathcal{T}^v_T F_0, G_0)_H,\ F_0\in\operatorname{curl}^{-1}(H),\ G_0\in H, \tag{0.3}$$

where S_T is the time reversal operator, i.e. $(S_T v)(t) = -v(T-t)$, $t\in[0,T]$. The self-duality formula (0.3) allows us to deduce certain properties of the operator $\mathcal{T}^v_T$. In particular in Corollary 2.10 we show that the $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H}^{k,2}_{\text{sol}},\mathbb{H}^{k,2}_{\text{sol}})$– norm of $\mathcal{T}^v_T$ is equal to its $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H}^{1-k,2}_{\text{sol}},\mathbb{H}^{1-k,2}_{\text{sol}})$– norm. Moreover, in Corollary 2.12, we prove that the space $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H}^{\frac12,2}_{\text{sol}},\mathbb{H}^{\frac12,2}_{\text{sol}})$ is in a certain sense optimal for $\mathcal{T}^v_T$.

The main result in the second part of the paper, Theorem 3.12, is about a certain non-classical Feynman-Kac type formula for the solutions of the vector advection equation (0.2) in two dimensions. We show that if the divergence free vector field v is time-independent and sufficiently regular, then the stochastic flow of diffeomorphisms of $\mathbb{R}^2$ $X_s(t;\cdot), 0\leq s\leq t\leq T$, corresponding to the following SDE on $\mathbb{R}^2$,

$$\begin{cases} dX_s(t;x) &= \sqrt{2\nu}\sigma_1(X_s(t;x))\,dW(t),\ 0\leq s\leq t\leq T, \\ X_s(s;x) &= x. \end{cases} \tag{0.4}$$

where, with a function $\phi : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ such that[1] $v = \nabla^{\perp}\phi$,

$$\sigma_1(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\frac{\phi(x)}{\nu} & -\sin\frac{\phi(x)}{\nu} \\ \sin\frac{\phi(x)}{\nu} & \cos\frac{\phi(x)}{\nu} \end{pmatrix}, x \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

has the following properties: (i) its one-point motion is a *Brownian Motion* and (ii) the circulation along it of the solution of the two dimensional vector advection equation (0.2), i.e. with $d = 2$, is a martingale. This flow seems to be of interest on its own because the stream function ϕ naturally arise in its construction.

The question of the existence of an analogous flow in the three dimensional case remains open, see Question (3.24) for details.

It should be noticed here that a similar construction does not work for the scalar advection equation because in this case the Feynman-Kac type formula depends only upon the law of the flow itself and not upon the law of the gradient of the flow. Also we would like to point out that the main obstacle in getting a'priori estimates for solutions of vector advection equation (in particular, for vorticity of the solution to the 3-D NSEs) is lack of an estimate for the gradient of the flow. Therefore, in connection with this result, a natural question is whether it is possible to choose the optimal flow for which gradient is bounded?

The main idea behind our approach to the Feynman-Kac type formula for solutions of the vector advection equation is that in the case with viscosity equal to 0, the conservation law of circulation, known also as Kelvin-Noether Theorem, holds. In the case of positive viscosity we are able to find an analog of this conservation law. The Feynman-Kac formula is then an immediate consequence of that result. This idea has been used before in the papers [25] and [26] (though with quite sketchy proofs). In the latter paper, see Theorem 5 and Example 1, the Feynman-Kac formula for the solution of vector advection equation without incompressibility condition has been derived. A somewhat similar idea has been also explored independently by Constantin and Iyer in [6], but see also Flandoli et al. [5] for a different approach. Moreover, Flandoli et al. [5] proved Feynman-Kac formula for more general systems of parabolic PDEs. However, we would like to point out that in all of the articles mentioned above only the "standard" stochastic flow corresponding to the following SDE

---

[1] Such ϕ exists because $\operatorname{div} v = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} dX_s(t;x)) &= v(t,X_s(t;x))\,dt+\sqrt{2\nu}\,dW(t),\ t\in[s,T], \\ X_s(s;x) &= x. \end{aligned} \tag{0.5}$$

has been used and, correspondingly, the problems discussed here does not appear in their framework.

One possible application of Theorem 3.12 is the extension of Le Jan and Raimond's theory of statistical solutions of the scalar advection equations, see [18], to the 2D vector advection case. Indeed, Le Jan, Raimond theory defines statistical solution $X_s(t;x)$ of SDE (0.5) (corresponding to a solution of scalar advection equation in a natural way) with velocity v given by

$$dv^i(t,x)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\sigma_k^i(x)\,dW(t)^k,\ x\in\mathbb{R}^n,\ t\geq 0,\ i=1,\dots,n, \tag{0.6}$$

where $\sigma_k^i(\cdot)$ are Hölder continuous and $\{W(t)^k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a family of i.i.d. Wiener processes. In the case of the 2D vector advection, Theorem 3.12 implies that we don't need to define process $X_s(t;x)$ (It is just Brownian motion!). We only need to show that the **linear** equation (3.21) for the gradient of the flow $\nabla X_s(t;x)$ has a strong solution. At this moment, there appears certain difficulty with the definition of the right hand side of equation (3.21) for irregular vector field v of the form (0.6). We are of the impression that the white noise calculus could be of some help here.

Finally, the idea of generalization of the conservation laws has been extensively studied in physical literature, where it is called statistical integral of motion or zero mode, see e.g. the survey [9, part II.E, p.932], and references therein.

Note: After we had proved Corollary 3.3 we became aware that independently of us a similar result was proved recently by Constantin and Iyer in [6].

Acknowledgments. We would like to thank T. Komorowski and B. Gołdys for their useful remarks, in particular to the former one for informing us about the work by Constantin and Iyer [6]. The present article derives from work done as part of the Ph. D. thesis of the second named authour at the University of York, while supported by the ORS award, University of York scholarship and, later, by an ARC Discovery project DP0558539. The research of the first named author was supported by an ARC Discovery grant DP0663153.

1. NOTATIONS AND HYPOTHESES

Let D be either $\mathbb{R}^d$ or an open, bounded and connected set in $\mathbb{R}^d$. In the latter case, we assume that the boundary $\Gamma = \partial D$ of D is of C^3 class and we denote by $\overrightarrow{n}$ the outer normal vector field to the boundary Γ. We denote by $C^\infty(D,\mathbb{R}^n)$ the space of infinitely differentiable functions from D to $\mathbb{R}^n$ and by $C_0^\infty(D,\mathbb{R}^n)$ the subspace of those functions belonging to $C^\infty(D,\mathbb{R}^n)$ which have a compact support. Finally, let us denote

$$\mathcal{D}(D) = \{f \in C_0^\infty(D,\mathbb{R}^d) : \operatorname{div} f = 0\}.$$

For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p \in [1,\infty)$, let $H_0^{k,p}(D,\mathbb{R}^n)$, respectively $H^{k,p}(D,\mathbb{R}^n)$, be the completion of $C_0^\infty(D,\mathbb{R}^n)$, respectively $C^\infty(D,\mathbb{R}^n)$, with respect to norm

$$\|f\|_{k,p} = (\sum_{l=0}^{k}\sum_{|\alpha|\le l}\int_D |D^\alpha f(x)|^p_{\mathbb{R}^n}\, dx)^{1/p}.$$

We will use the following notation

$$\begin{array}{rclcrcl} H^{k,p}(D) &=& H^{k,p}(D,\mathbb{R}), & & H_0^{k,p}(D) &=& H_0^{k,p}(D,\mathbb{R}),\\ \mathbb{H}^{k,p}(D) &=& H^{k,p}(D,\mathbb{R}^d), & & \mathbb{H}_0^{k,p}(D) &=& H_0^{k,p}(D,\mathbb{R}^d),\\ \mathbb{H}^{k}(D) &=& \mathbb{H}^{k,2}(D), & & \mathbb{L}^{p}(D) &=& H^{0,p}(D,\mathbb{R}^d). \end{array}$$

Finally, let us denote

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \{f \in \mathbb{L}^2(D) : \operatorname{div} f = 0, (f\cdot \overrightarrow{n})|_\Gamma = 0\},\\ V &= \mathbb{H}_0^{1,2}(D) \cap H. \end{aligned}$$

Equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{0,2}$, H is a Hilbert space. Similarly, V is a Hilbert space when equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{1,2}$. The norms in H and V will be denoted by $|\cdot|$ and $\|\cdot\|$. See also [33, pp. 9-15] for the definition and different characterizations of the spaces H and V.

By $\mathbb{H}^{k,p}_{\mathrm{sol}}(D)$ we will denote the completion of $\mathcal{D}(D)$ w.r.t. the norm $\|\cdot\|_{k,p}$. We will often write $\mathbb{H}^{k,p}_{\mathrm{sol}}$ instead of $\mathbb{H}^{k,p}_{\mathrm{sol}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. We also denote by $\mathbb{H}^{k,p}_{h,\mathrm{sol}}$ the completion of $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ w.r.t. the homogeneous norm

$$\|f\|^h_{k,p} = (\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\operatorname{curl}^k f|^p_{\mathbb{R}^3}\, dx)^{1/p}, k \in \mathbb{N}, \in [1,\infty).$$

Let us also denote $\mathbb{H}^{-k,2}_{h,\mathrm{sol}} = (\mathbb{H}^{k,2}_{h,\mathrm{sol}})^*$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and define the spaces with fractional order via the complex interpolation, i.e.

$$\mathbb{H}^{\alpha,p}_{h,\mathrm{sol}} = \left[\mathbb{H}^{[\alpha],p}_{h,\mathrm{sol}}, \mathbb{H}^{[\alpha]+1,p}_{h,\mathrm{sol}}\right]_{\alpha-[\alpha]}, \alpha \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $[\cdot,\cdot]_\beta$ is a complex interpolation space of order β.

Let $\mathrm{P} : \mathbb{H}^{k,p}(D) \to \mathbb{H}^{k,p}_{\mathrm{sol}}(D)$ be the Helmholtz projection onto the divergence-free vector fields, see [11] or [33].

From now on we consider the case $d = 3$. By $\times$ we will denote the vector product in $\mathbb{R}^3$. We will often use the following properties of the vector product.

$$(a \times b, c)_{\mathbb{R}^3} = (a, b \times c)_{\mathbb{R}^3} \tag{1.1}$$

$$|a \times b|_{\mathbb{R}^3} \le |a|_{\mathbb{R}^3}|b|_{\mathbb{R}^3}. \tag{1.2}$$

We will identify the dual H' with H and so we can assume that $H \subset V'$. In particular,

$$V \subset H \cong H' \subset V'$$

is Gelfand triple. We will need the following results borrowed from the monograph [19] by Lions and Magenes, see Theorem 3.1, p. 19 and Proposition 2.1, p. 18.

Lemma 1.1. *Suppose that $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{V}'$ is a Gelfand triple with the duality relation $\langle \cdot,\cdot\rangle_{\mathcal{V}',\mathcal{V}}$. If $u \in L^2(0,T;\mathcal{V})$, $u' \in L^2(0,T;\mathcal{V}')$, then u is almost everywhere equal to a continuous function from $[0,T]$ into $\mathcal{H}$ and we have the following equality, which holds in the scalar distribution sense on $(0,T)$:*

$$\frac{d}{dt}|u|^2 = 2\langle u', u\rangle. \tag{1.3}$$

As a consequence we have the following result.

Corollary 1.2. *If $f, g \in L^2(0,T;\mathcal{V})$ with $f', g' \in L^2(0,T;\mathcal{V}')$ then $(f,g)_{\mathcal{H}}$ is almost everywhere equal to weakly differentiable function and*

$$\frac{d}{dt}(f,g)_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle f', g\rangle_{\mathcal{V}',\mathcal{V}} + \langle f, g'\rangle_{\mathcal{V}',\mathcal{V}}. \tag{1.4}$$

We also recall the following result from [19], see Theorem 4.1, p. 238 and Remark 4.3, p. 239

Theorem 1.3. *Assume that*

$$A \in L^\infty([0,T], \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V},\mathcal{V}')) \tag{1.5}$$

satisfies the following coercivity condition. There exist $\alpha > 0$ *and* $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ *such that*

$$\langle A(t)u, u\rangle_{\mathcal{V}',\mathcal{V}} \geq \alpha|u|^2_{\mathcal{V}} + \lambda|u|^2_{\mathcal{H}}, \ \ u \in \mathcal{V}. \tag{1.6}$$

Then for all $u_0 \in \mathcal{H}$ *and* $f \in L^2(0,T;\mathcal{V}')$ *the problem*

$$\begin{cases} \frac{du}{dt} + Au = f, \\ u(0) = u_0 \end{cases}$$

has unique solution $u \in L^2(0,T;\mathcal{V})$ *such that* $u' \in L^2(0,T;\mathcal{V}')$. *Moreover, this unique solution* u *satisfies the following inequality*

$$|u|^2_H(t) + \alpha \int\limits_0^t |u(s)|^2_V\,ds \leq (1+2\lambda t)e^{2\lambda t}(|u_0|^2_H + \frac{1}{4\alpha}\int\limits_0^t |f|^2_{V'}\,ds), t \in [0,T]. \tag{1.7}$$

We will also need the following result.

Proposition 1.4. *Assume that an operator* $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V},\mathcal{V}')$ *satisfies the coercivity condition* (1.6). *Let us denote* $D(A) = \{x \in \mathcal{H} | Ax \in \mathcal{H}\}$. *Then for all* $f \in L^2(0,T;\mathcal{H})$ *and* $u_0 \in \mathcal{V}$ *there exists a unique solution* $u \in L^2(0,T;D(A)) \cap C([0,T];\mathcal{V})$ *of the problem:*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{du}{dt} + \nu A u &= f, \\ u(0) &= u_0 \end{aligned} \tag{1.8}$$

and it satisfies $u' \in L^2(0,T;\mathcal{H})$. *Moreover, for a constant* $C = C(\lambda,T,\nu)$ *independent of* u_0 *and* f, *such that*

$$|u'|^2_{L^2(0,T;\mathcal{H})} + \nu^2|u|^2_{L^2(0,T;D(A))} \leq C(|f|^2_{L^2(0,T;\mathcal{H})} + |u_0|^2_{\mathcal{V}}). \tag{1.9}$$

Proof of Proposition 1.4. It follows from Theorem 3.6.1 p.76 of [32] that $-A$ generates an analytic semigroup in $\mathcal{H}$. Therefore, the existence and the uniqueness of solution u follows from Theorem 3.2 p.22 of [20]. It remains to show the inequality (1.9). let us define a Banach space $X = \{u \in L^2(0,T;D(A)) : u' \in L^2(0,T;H)\}$ and a bounded linear operator $\mathcal{Q} : X \ni u \mapsto (u(0), u' + Au) \in V \times L^2(0,T;H))$. Since $\mathcal{Q}$ is a bijection, according to the Open Mapping Theorem, there exists the inverse continuous operator $\mathcal{Q}^{-1}$, i.e. $\mathcal{Q}^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(V \times L^2(0,T;H), X)$. Hence the inequality (1.9) follows.

□

Definition 1.5. *Let us define a bilinear form* $\tilde{a} : V \times V \to \mathbb{R}$ *by*

$$\tilde{a}(u,v) = \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int\limits_D \nabla_i u^j \nabla_i v^j\,dx, u, v \in V.$$

Lemma 1.6. *The form* $\tilde{a} : V \times V \to \mathbb{R}^1$ *is positive, bilinear, continuous and symmetric.*

Proof. Proof is omitted. □

It follows from Lemma 1.6 and the Lax-Milgram Theorem that for any $f \in V'$ there exists unique $u \in V$ such that

$$\tilde{a}(u,v) + \lambda(u,v) = \langle f, v\rangle_{V',V}, v \in V. \tag{1.10}$$

Definition 1.7. *Define* $A \in \mathcal{L}(V,V')$ *by an identity*

$$\tilde{a}(u,v) = \langle Au, v\rangle_{V,V'}, u,v \in V.$$

Remark 1.8. The operator A defined above is often called the Stokes operator.

Corollary 1.9. *The operator* A *defined in Definition 1.7 is self-adjoint and positive definite.*

Proof. Follows from the symmetry of the form $\tilde{a}$, Theorem 2.2.3, Remark 2.2.1, p.29 of [32]. □

Definition 1.10. *Let us define trilinear form* $\tilde{b} : C_0^\infty(D) \times \mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D} \to \mathbb{R}$ *by*

$$\tilde{b}(v,f,\phi) = \langle \mathrm{P}(v \times \operatorname{curl} f), \phi\rangle_{V',V},\ (v,f,\phi) \in C_0^\infty(D) \times \mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D}. \tag{1.11}$$

Lemma 1.11. *For any* δ *there exists* $C_\delta > 0$ *such that for all* $\varepsilon > 0$ *and all* $(v,f,\phi) \in C_0^\infty(D) \times \mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D}$*,*

$$|\tilde{b}(v,f,\phi)|^2 \leq |f|_V^2|\phi|_V^2(\varepsilon^{1+\delta/3} + \frac{C_\delta}{\varepsilon^{1+3/\delta}}|v(t)|^{2+\frac{6}{\delta}}_{\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta}(D)}), \tag{1.12}$$

$$|\tilde{b}(v,f,\phi)| \leq \frac{1}{2}\|f\|_V^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon^{1+\delta/3}\|\phi\|_V^2 + \frac{C_\delta}{\varepsilon^{1+3/\delta}}|v(t)|^{2+\frac{6}{\delta}}_{\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta}(D)}|\phi|_H^2). \tag{1.13}$$

Moreover, if we assume that $f \in D(A)$*, then for any* $\phi \in V$ *the following inequality holds*

$$|\tilde{b}(v,f,\phi)|^2 \leq |\phi|_H^2(\varepsilon^{1+\delta/3}\|f\|^2_{D(A)} + \frac{C_\delta}{\varepsilon^{1+3/\delta}}|v|^{2+\frac{6}{\delta}}_{\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta}(D)}|f|_V^2) \tag{1.14}$$

To prove Lemma 1.11 we will need the following auxiliary result.

Lemma 1.12. *For any* δ *there exists* $C_\delta > 0$ *such that for all* $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\|f \times g\|^2_{\mathbb{L}^2(D)} \leq \varepsilon^{1+\delta/3}\|f\|_V^2 + \frac{C_\delta}{\varepsilon^{1+3/\delta}}|g|^{2+\frac{6}{\delta}}_{\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta}(D)}|f|_H^2,\ f \in V, g \in H. \tag{1.15}$$

Proof of Lemma 1.12. Let us $p=3-\frac{2\delta}{1+\delta}$, $q=\frac{3+\delta}{2}$, $\theta=\frac{3}{3+\delta}$. Then $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$ and therefore by the inequality (1.2), the Hölder inequality, the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality (see Theorem 9.3, p.24 in [10]) and from the Young inequality we infer the following train of inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\times g\|^2_{\mathbb{L}^2(D)} &\le \int_D |f|^2|g|^2\,dx \le |f|^2_{\mathbb{L}^{2p}(D)}|g|^2_{\mathbb{L}^{2q}(D)} \\ \le (\|f\|^{\theta}_V|f|^{1-\theta}_H)^2|g|^2_{\mathbb{L}^{2q}(D)} &\le \varepsilon^{1+\delta/3}\|f\|^2_V+\frac{C_\delta}{\varepsilon^{1+3/\delta}}|g|^{2+\frac{6}{\delta}}_{\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta}(D)}|f|^2_H. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Lemma 1.11. Let us fix $(v,f,\phi)\in C_0^\infty(D)\times\mathcal{D}\times\mathcal{D}$. Then by equality (1.1), and Lemma 1.12 we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{b}(v,f,\phi)|^2 &= |\langle v(t)\times\phi,\operatorname{curl} f\rangle_{V',V}|^2 \\ \le |\operatorname{curl} f|^2_H|v(t)\times\phi|^2_H &\le \|f\|^2_V(\varepsilon^{1+\delta_0/3}\|\phi\|^2_V+\frac{C_{\delta_0}}{\varepsilon^{1+3/\delta_0}}|v(t)|^{2+\frac{6}{\delta_0}}_{\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta_0}(D)}|\phi|^2_H) \\ &\le |f|^2_V|\phi|^2_V(\varepsilon^{1+\delta_0/3}+\frac{C_{\delta_0}}{\varepsilon^{1+3/\delta_0}}|v(t)|^{2+\frac{6}{\delta_0}}_{\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta_0}(D)}). \end{aligned} \tag{1.16}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{b}(v,f,\phi)| &= |\langle v(t)\times\phi,\operatorname{curl} f\rangle_{V',V}| \le |\operatorname{curl} f|_H|v(t)\times\phi|_H \\ \le \frac{1}{2}\|f\|^2_V+\frac{1}{2}|v(t)\times\phi|^2_H &\le \frac{1}{2}\|f\|^2_V+\frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon^{1+\delta_0/3}\|\phi\|^2_V+\frac{C_{\delta_0}}{\varepsilon^{1+3/\delta_0}}|v(t)|^{2+\frac{6}{\delta_0}}_{\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta_0}(D)}|\phi|^2_H), \end{aligned} \tag{1.17}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{b}(v,f,\phi)|^2 &= |\langle v(t)\times\operatorname{curl} f,\phi\rangle_{V',V}|^2 \le |\phi|^2_H|v(t)\times\operatorname{curl} f|^2_H \\ &\le |\phi|^2_H(\varepsilon^{1+\delta/3}|f|^2_{D(A)}+\frac{C_\delta}{\varepsilon^{1+3/\delta}}|v|^{2+\frac{6}{\delta}}_{\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta}(D)}|f|^2_V). \end{aligned} \tag{1.18}$$

□

Fix $\delta_0>0$. It follows from inequality (1.12) that the trilinear form $\tilde{b}$ is continuous with respect to the $L^{3+\delta_0}(D)\times V\times V$ topology. Therefore, there exist continuous trilinear form $b: L^{3+\delta_0}(D)\times V\times V\to\mathbb{R}$ such that

$$b(\cdot,\cdot,\cdot)|_{C_0^\infty(D)\times\mathcal{D}\times\mathcal{D}}=\tilde{b}.$$

Moreover,

$$b(v,f,\phi)=-(v\times\phi,\operatorname{curl} f)_H,\ (v,f,\phi)\in L^{3+\delta_0}(D)\times V\times V. \tag{1.19}$$

Indeed, the form on the left hand side of equality (1.19) is equal to the form on the right hand side of equality (1.19) for $(v, f, \phi) \in C_0^\infty(D) \times \mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D}$ and both forms are continuous in $L^{3+\delta_0}(D) \times V \times V$.

Definition 1.13. *Let us define a bilinear operator* $B : L^{3+\delta_0}(D) \times V \to V'$ *by*

$$\langle B(v, f), \phi \rangle_{V',V} = b(v, f, \phi), v \in L^{3+\delta_0}(D), f \in V, \phi \in V.$$

Corollary 1.14. *Assume that* $\delta_0 > 0$. *Then there exists a constant* $C_{\delta_0} > 0$ *independent such that*

$$|B(v, f)|^2_{V'} \leq \|f\|^2_V(\varepsilon^{1+\delta_0/3} + \frac{C_{\delta_0}}{\varepsilon^{1+3/\delta_0}} |v(t)|^{2+\frac{6}{\delta_0}}_{\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta_0}(D)}),\ (v, f) \in L^{3+\delta_0}(D) \times V. \tag{1.20}$$

Moreover, if $(v, f) \in L^{3+\delta_0}(D) \times D(A)$ *then* $B(v, f) \in H$ *and*

$$|B(v, f)|^2_H \leq (\varepsilon^{1+\delta_0/3}\|f\|^2_{D(A)} + \frac{C_{\delta_0}}{\varepsilon^{1+3/\delta_0}} |v|^{2+\frac{6}{\delta}}_{\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta_0}(D)} |f|^2_V) \tag{1.21}$$

Proof of Corollary 1.14. Proof immediately follows from Lemma 1.11. □

2. DUALITY

Assume that $F_0 \in H, f \in L^2(0, T; V')$. We consider the following two problems:

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} = -\nu AF - B(v(t), F) + f, \tag{2.1}$$

$$F(0) = F_0, \tag{2.2}$$

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial t} = -\nu AG - \operatorname{curl}(v(t) \times G) + f, \tag{2.3}$$

$$G(0) = G_0. \tag{2.4}$$

Definition 2.1. *We will call an element* F*, respectively* G*, of* $L^2(0, T; V) \cap L^\infty(0, T; H) \cap C([0, T]; H_{\mathrm{w}})$*, where* H_{w} *is equal to* H *endowed with the weak topology, a solution of problem (2.1-2.2), resp. (2.3-2.4), iff* F*, resp.* G*, satisfies equation (2.1), resp. problem* (2.3)*, in the distribution sense and* F*, resp.* G*, satisfies* (2.2)*, resp.* (2.4) *as elements of* $C([0, T]; H_{\mathrm{w}})$.

In the next two Propositions we will deal with the existence and regularity results for solutions of (2.1-2.2) and (2.3–2.4). These results are probably known, but since we have been unable to find them (the form we need) in the literature, we have decided to present them for the sake of the completeness of the paper.

Proposition 2.2. *Suppose that* $(F_0, f) \in H \times L^2(0,T;V')$ *and*

$$v \in \bigcup_{\delta_0>0} L^{2+\frac{6}{\delta_0}}(0,T;\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta_0}(D)). \tag{2.5}$$

Then

(i) *there exists the unique solution* F *of problem (2.1-2.2) and for each* $\delta_0 > 0$ *there exists a constant* $K_1 = K_1(|v|_{L^{2+\frac{6}{\delta_0}}(0,T;\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta_0}(D))}, \nu)$ *independent of* (F_0, f) *such that* F *satisfies the following inequality*

$$\begin{aligned} |F(t)|_H^2 &+ \nu\int\limits_0^t \|F(s)\|_V^2\,ds \\ &\le K_1\Big(\int\limits_0^t |v(s)|_{\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta_0}}^{2+6/\delta_0}\,ds, \nu\Big)\Big(|F_0|_H^2 + \frac{C}{\nu}\int\limits_0^t |f(s)|_{V'}^2\,ds\Big),\ t\in[0,T]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Furthermore, $F \in C([0,T],V')$ *and* $F' \in L^{1+\frac{3}{2\delta_0+3}}(0,T;V')$. *Moreover, if* v *satisfies the following, stronger than* (2.5)*, condition*

$$v \in L^\infty(0,T;\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta_0}(D)) \text{ for some } \delta_0 > 0, \tag{2.7}$$

then $F' \in L^2(0,T;V')$.

(ii) *If in addition* $(F_0, f) \in V \times L^2(0,T;H)$ *and the condition* (2.7) *is satisfied, then* $F \in C([0,T],V) \cap L^2(0,T;D(A))$.

(iii) *Assume that* $n \in \mathbb{N}$. *Suppose* $f^{(n)} \in L^2(0,T;H)$, *there exists* $\delta_0 > 0$ *such that* $v \in C^{n-1}(0,T;\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta_0}(D))$, $v^{(n)} \in L^\infty(0,T;\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta_0}(D))$ *and* $g_k \in V$, *for* $k = 0,1,\dots,n$, *where sequence* $\{g_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$ *is defined by formula*[2]

$$\begin{aligned} g_m &= -\nu A g_{m-1} - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} B(v^{(m-k-1)})(0), g_k) + f^{m-1}(0), m = 1,\dots,n \\ g_0 &= F_0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Then $F \in C^n([0,T],V)$.

Remark 2.3. We should notice that on the one hand, our class $\bigcup_{\delta>0} L^{2+\frac{6}{\delta_0}}(0,T;\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta_0}(D))$ is the Serrin regularity class. Indeed, if $r = 2+\frac{6}{\delta_0}$, $s = 3+\delta_0$ then $\frac{2}{r}+\frac{3}{s} = 1$. Therefore, any weak solution of the NSEs belonging to this class is a strong solution. On the other hand, we have been unable to prove that under the assumption (2.5) a solution F of problem (2.1-2.2) is such that $F' \in L^2(0,T,V')$. A problem that arises here is similar to the problem wether a weak solution u of the NSEs, see [33], p. 191 Problem 3.2 and Theorem 3.1, satisfies $u' \in L^2(0,T;V')$.

---

[2] It is easy to see that formally system (2.1-2.2) uniquely defines $F^{(k)}(0)$. Indeed, if we formally put $t = 0$ in the system we immediately get expression for $F'(0)$ through known parameters. Similarly, differentiating equation 2.1 w.r.t. time we get recurrent formula (2.8) for $F^{(k)}(0), k \in \mathbb{N}$. So, the condition $g_k \in V$ is compatibility condition.

For the second equation we have:

Proposition 2.4. *Suppose that a time dependent vector field v satisfies the assumption* (2.5). *Then*

(i) *for every $(F_0,f) \in H \times L^2(0,T;V')$ there exists unique solution G of the problem (2.3-2.4) such that $G' \in L^2(0,T;V')$ and for each $\delta_0 > 0$ there exits a constant $K_1\left(\int\limits_0^t |v(s)|^{2+6/\delta_0}_{\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta_0}}\,ds,\nu\right)$ such that G satisfies the following inequality for $t \in [0,T]$,*

$$\begin{aligned} |G(t)|^2_H \ &+\ \nu\int\limits_0^t \|G(s)\|^2_V\,ds \\ &\leq\ K_1\Big(\int\limits_0^t |v(s)|^{2+6/\delta_0}_{\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta_0}}\,ds,\nu\Big)\Big(|G_0|^2_H + \frac{C}{\nu}\int\limits_0^t |f(s)|^2_{V'}\,ds\Big),\ t\in[0,T]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

(ii) *If in addition $v \in L^2(0,T,V)$ and $(F_0,f) \in V \times L^2(0,T;H)$, then the solution G from part (i) satisfies $G \in C([0,T],V) \cap L^2(0,T;D(A))$.*

(iii) *Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $f^{(n)} \in L^2(0,T;H)$, there exists $\delta_0 > 0$ such that $v \in C^{n-1}(0,T;\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta_0}(D))$, $v^{(n)} \in L^\infty(0,T;\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta_0}(D)) \cap L^2(0,T,V)$ and $l_k \in V$, for $k = 0,1,\dots,n$, where sequence $\{l_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$ is defined by formula*

$$\begin{aligned} l_m &= -\nu A l_{m-1} - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \mathrm{curl}(v^{(m-k-1)}(0)\times l_k) + f^{m-1}(0), m=1,\dots,n \\ l_0 &= G_0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

Then $G \in C^n([0,T],V)$.

Corollary 2.5. *Assume that $F_0 \in H$, $f,v \in C^\infty([0,T];H)$. If for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $v^{(k)}$ satisfies the condition* (2.5), *then the solution of the problem (2.1-2.2) is in $C^\infty((0,T]\times D)$.*

Proof of Corollary 2.5. It follows from Remark 3.2, p.90 in [34]. □

Similarly for the problem (2.3-2.4) we have

Corollary 2.6. *Assume that* $F_0 \in H$, $f, v \in C^\infty([0,T]; H)$. *If for each* $k \in \mathbb{N}$ $v^{(k)}$ *satisfies the condition* (2.7), *then the solution of the problem (2.3-2.4) is in* $C^\infty((0,T] \times D)$.

Proof of Corollary 2.6. It follows from Remark 3.2, p.90 in [34]. ☐

The main result in this section is the following.

Theorem 2.7. *Suppose that* $F_0 \in H$, $G_0 \in H$ *and* $v \in \bigcup_{\delta_0>0} L^{2+\frac{6}{\delta_0}}(0,T;\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta_0}(D))$. *Let* F *and* G *be solutions of respectively problems* (2.11) *and* (2.12) *below.*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} &= -\nu AF - B(v(t),F),\ t \in (0,T), \qquad (2.11)\\ F(0,\cdot) &= F_0, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial G}{\partial t} &= -\nu AG + \operatorname{curl}(v(T-t)\times G),\ t \in (0,T), \qquad (2.12)\\ G(0,\cdot) &= G_0. \end{aligned}$$

Then, the following identity holds

$$(F(t), G(T-t))_H = (F(0), G(T))_H,\ t \in [0,T]. \qquad (2.13)$$

From now on we will only consider the case $D = \mathbb{R}^3$. We notice that now if F is a solution of the problem (2.1-2.2) with data (F_0, f, v), then $\operatorname{curl} F$ is a solution of the problem (2.3-2.4) with data $(\operatorname{curl} F_0, \operatorname{curl} f, v)$.

Definition 2.8. *Let* $\mathcal{T}_T^v : H \to H$ *be the vector transport operator defined by* $\mathcal{T}_T^v(F_0) = F(T)$, *where* F *is the unique solution of the problem* (2.11) *with data* (F_0, v).

Define also the time reversal operator

$$S_T : \bigcup_{\delta_0>0} L^{2+\frac{6}{\delta_0}}(0,T;\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta_0}(D)) \to \bigcup_{\delta_0>0} L^{2+\frac{6}{\delta_0}}(0,T;\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta_0}(D))$$

by $(S_T v)(t) = -v(T-t)$. Then from Theorem 2.7 we infer that

Corollary 2.9. *Assume that* $F_0 \in V$, $G_0 \in H$ *and* $v \in \bigcup_{\delta_0>0} L^{2+\frac{6}{\delta_0}}(0,T;\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta_0}(\mathbb{R}^3))$. *Then the following duality relation holds,*

$$(\operatorname{curl} F_0, \mathcal{T}_T^{S_T v} G_0)_H = (\operatorname{curl} \mathcal{T}_T^v F_0, G_0)_H. \qquad (2.14)$$

Corollary 2.10. *Assume that* v *satisfies the assumption* (2.5) *such that duality relation* (2.14) *holds. Then*

$$\|\mathcal{T}_T^v\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H}^{\alpha,2}_{h,\mathrm{sol}},\mathbb{H}^{\alpha,2}_{h,\mathrm{sol}})} = \|\mathcal{T}_T^{S_T v}\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H}^{1-\alpha,2}_{h,\mathrm{sol}},\mathbb{H}^{1-\alpha,2}_{h,\mathrm{sol}})},\ \alpha \in [0,1]. \qquad (2.15)$$

Proof of Corollary 2.10. Because $\mathbb{H}^{\alpha,2}_{h,\mathrm{sol}}$ is the complex interpolation space between $\mathbb{H}^{0,2}_{h,\mathrm{sol}} = \mathbb{L}^2_{sol}$ and $\mathbb{H}^{1,2}_{h,\mathrm{sol}}$ of order α, it is enough to consider the cases $\alpha \in \{0,1\}$. Furthermore, we can restrict ourselves to the case of $\alpha = 0$ because $S_T \circ S_T = \mathrm{id}$.

From equality (2.14) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathcal{T}^v_T\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{L}^2_{sol},\mathbb{L}^2_{sol})} = \sup_{\phi,\psi\in\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \frac{|\langle \mathcal{T}^v_T\phi,\psi\rangle|}{\|\phi\|_{\mathbb{L}^2_{sol}}\|\psi\|_{\mathbb{L}^2_{sol}}} &= \\ \sup_{\phi,\psi\in\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \frac{|\langle \operatorname{curl}\mathcal{T}^v_T\phi, \operatorname{curl}^{-1}\psi\rangle|}{\|\phi\|_{\mathbb{L}^2_{sol}}\|\psi\|_{\mathbb{L}^2_{sol}}} &= \\ \sup_{\phi,\psi\in\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \frac{|\langle \operatorname{curl}\phi, \mathcal{T}^{S_Tv}_T \operatorname{curl}^{-1}\psi\rangle|}{\|\phi\|_{\mathbb{L}^2_{sol}}\|\psi\|_{\mathbb{L}^2_{sol}}} &= \\ \sup_{\phi,\psi\in\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \frac{|\langle \phi, \mathcal{T}^{S_Tv}_T \psi\rangle|}{\|\phi\|_{\mathbb{H}^{-1,2}_{h,\mathrm{sol}}}\|\psi\|_{\mathbb{H}^{1,2}_{h,\mathrm{sol}}}} &= \\ \|\mathcal{T}^{S_Tv}_T\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H}^{1,2}_{h,\mathrm{sol}},\mathbb{H}^{1,2}_{h,\mathrm{sol}})}&\end{aligned}$$

□

Definition 2.11. *By* X_α *we denote the class of all functions* $u : [0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ *satisfying the following three conditions.*

(i) $u \in L^\infty_{loc}([0,\infty); H)$.

(ii) *For all* $t \in [0,\infty)$ *there exists a unique solution of equation* (2.11) *with parameters* $u^t = u|_{[0,t]}$ *and* $v^t = S^t(u|_{[0,t]})$. *Furthermore, the duality relation* (2.14) *with the vector field* v *replaced by the vector field* u^t *holds.*

(iii) *For every* $t \in [0,\infty)$, $\mathcal{T}^{u^t}_t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H}^{\alpha,2}_{h,\mathrm{sol}}, \mathbb{H}^{\alpha,2}_{h,\mathrm{sol}})$.

Then the following result follows from Corollary 2.10

Corollary 2.12. *Assume that* $\alpha \in [0,1]$. *Then* $X_\alpha = X_{1-\alpha} \subset X_{\frac{1}{2}}$ *and the space* $X_{\frac{1}{2}}$ *is invariant with respect to scalings* Ψ_λ, $\lambda \in (0,1]$, *where* $(\Psi_\lambda u)(t,x) = \lambda u(\lambda^2 t, \lambda x)$, $t \in [0,\infty)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$.

Proof of Corollary 2.12. Property $X_\alpha = X_{1-\alpha}$ is a direct consequence of Corollary 2.10 and the definition of X_α. We will show that $X_\alpha \subset X_{\frac{1}{2}}$. Let $u \in X_\alpha$. Then for all $t \geq 0$,

$$\mathcal{T}^u_t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H}^{\alpha,2}_{h,\mathrm{sol}}, \mathbb{H}^{\alpha,2}_{h,\mathrm{sol}}), \mathcal{T}^u_t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H}^{1-\alpha,2}_{h,\mathrm{sol}}, \mathbb{H}^{1-\alpha,2}_{h,\mathrm{sol}}).$$

Indeed, it follows by definition of X_α that

$$|\mathcal{T}_t^u|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H}_{h,\mathrm{sol}}^{1-\alpha,2},\mathbb{H}_{h,\mathrm{sol}}^{1-\alpha,2})} = |\mathcal{T}_t^{S^t(u|_{[0,t]})}|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H}_{h,\mathrm{sol}}^{\alpha,2},\mathbb{H}_{h,\mathrm{sol}}^{\alpha,2})}, t \in [0,\infty).$$

Therefore, by the Interpolation Theorem, see [35, Theorems 1.9.4, p. 59 and 1.15.3, p. 103], we have that

$$\mathcal{T}_t^u \in \mathcal{L}([\mathbb{H}_{h,\mathrm{sol}}^{\alpha,2}, \mathbb{H}_{h,\mathrm{sol}}^{1-\alpha,2}]_{1/2}, [\mathbb{H}_{h,\mathrm{sol}}^{\alpha,2}, \mathbb{H}_{h,\mathrm{sol}}^{1-\alpha,2}]_{1/2}), t \in [0,\infty),$$

i.e.

$$\mathcal{T}_t^u \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H}_{h,\mathrm{sol}}^{\frac{1}{2},2}, \mathbb{H}_{h,\mathrm{sol}}^{\frac{1}{2},2}), t \in [0,\infty).$$

Third property follows from identity

$$\mathcal{T}_t^{\Psi_\lambda(u)}\Psi_\lambda(F_0) = \Psi_\lambda(\mathcal{T}_t^u F_0), t \in [0,\infty)$$

and boundedness of scaling operators Ψ_λ and $\Psi_\lambda^{-1} = \Psi_{\frac{1}{\lambda}}$ in $\mathbb{H}_{h,\mathrm{sol}}^{\frac{1}{2},2}$. □

The first part of our next result is the classical result of Serrin-Prodi- Ladyzhenskaya ([29, 27, 17]). But the second part, i.e. inequalities (2.16) and (2.17) are new.

Corollary 2.13. *Assume that u is a weak solution of the NSEs* (0.1) *with the external force* 0. *Assume that u satisfies the Serrin condition, i..e $u \in \bigcup_{\delta_0>0} L^{2+\frac{6}{\delta_0}}(0,T;\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta_0}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ and $u(0) \in V$. Then $u \in L^\infty(0,T;V)$, i.e. u is a strong solution of* (0.1). *Moreover, if $G_0 \in H$, then*

$$(\mathrm{curl}\, u(0), \mathcal{T}_T^{S_T(u)} G_0)_H = (\mathrm{curl}\, u(T), G_0)_H, \tag{2.16}$$

$$\|\,\mathrm{curl}\, u(T)\|_H \le \|\mathcal{T}_T^{S_T(u)}\|_{\mathcal{L}(H,H)} \|\,\mathrm{curl}\, u(0)\|_{\cdot H} \tag{2.17}$$

Remark 2.14. Let us observe that the equality (2.16) is a generalization of the helicity invariance $\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u, \mathrm{curl}\, u)_{\mathbb{R}^3}\, dx$, see e.g. p. 120 – 121 in [24] for the solutions of the Euler equations. Indeed, if we consider the transport operator $\mathcal{T}_T^{\cdot}$ for $\nu = 0$ and take $G_0 = u(T)$ on the right hand side of equality (2.16) then, under the assumption that the Euler equation has a unique solution, we infer that $\mathcal{T}_T^{S_T(u)} u(T) = u(0)$.

Proof of Corollary 2.13. By Proposition 2.2 there exist unique solution $F \in L^2(0,T;V) \cap L^\infty(0,T;H)$ of equation (2.1-2.2) with initial condition $F_0 = u(0)$ and $v = u$. We can notice that u is also solution of (2.1-2.2) by Navier-Stokes equation. Thus, $F = u$ and we have (2.16) by Theorem 2.7. Therefore, we have

$$\|\,\mathrm{curl}\, u(t)\|_H \le \|\mathcal{T}_T^{S_T(u)}\|_{\mathcal{L}(H,H)} \|\,\mathrm{curl}\, u(0)\|_H$$

and by boundedness of operator $\mathcal{T}_T^{S_T(u)}$ (Proposition 2.2) we get the result. □

3. FORMULAE OF FEYNMAN-KAC TYPE.

The aim of this section is twofold. Firstly, we will discuss the physical meaning of the operator $\mathcal{T}_T^{S_T(\cdot)}$. Secondly, we will deduce a formula of Feynman-Kac type. In the whole section we suppose that $D = \mathbb{R}^n$. We also assume that $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$ is a complete filtered probability space and that $(W(t))_{t\geq 0}$ is an $\mathbb{R}^m$-valued Wiener process on this space. We have the following Proposition.

Proposition 3.1. *Assume that* $\alpha \in (0,1)$, $\sigma(\cdot,\cdot) \in L^1(0,T; C_b^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}^m))$, $a(\cdot,\cdot) \in L^1(0,T; C_b^{1,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n))$. *Let us assume that a continuous and adapted process* $X = [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^n$ *is a unique solution to the problem*

$$\begin{aligned} &dX_t(x) = a(t, X_t(x))\, dt + \sigma(t, X_t(x))\, dW(t), \\ &X_0(x) = x. \end{aligned}$$

Then for any C^1 *class closed loop* Γ *in* $\mathbb{R}^n$ *, any* $F \in C^{1,2}([0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ *and any* $t \in [0,T]$, *we have* $\mathbb{P}$*-a.s.,*

$$\int_{X_t(\Gamma)} \sum_{k=1}^n F^k(t,x)\, dx_k = \int_\Gamma \sum_{k=1}^n F^k(0,x)\, dx_k \tag{3.1}$$

$$+ \int_0^t \int_{X_s(\Gamma)} \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial F^k}{\partial t} + \sum_{j=1}^n a^j \left(\frac{\partial F^k}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial F^j}{\partial x_k}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 F^k}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \sum_{m=1}^n \sigma^{im}\sigma^{jm} \right) dx_k ds$$

$$+\frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_{X_s(\Gamma)} \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\sum_{j,l} \frac{\partial F^j}{\partial x_l} \sum_m \sigma^{lm} \frac{\partial \sigma^{jm}}{\partial x_k} \right) dx_k ds \tag{3.2}$$

$$+ \int_0^t \int_{X_s(\Gamma)} \sum_{k,j=1}^n F^j(s,x) \frac{\partial \sigma^{jl}}{\partial x_k}\, dx_k dw_s^l + \int_0^t \int_{X_s(\Gamma)} \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\sum_{i,l=1} \frac{\partial F^k}{\partial x_i} \sigma^{il} \right) dx_k\, dW^l(s).$$

Remark 3.2. The term (3.2) is of major interest for us. Its appearance allows us to " emulate" drift in two dimensional case i.e. to consider flow without drift such that this term "creates" necessary drift (see subsections 3.1, 3.2 and Theorem 3.12for detailed explanation).

Proof of Proposition 3.1. It follows from Theorems 3.3.3, p.94 and 4.6.5, p.173 of [16] that $X_t(\cdot), t \in [0,T]$ is a flow of C^1–diffeomorphisms and $\nabla X_t(\cdot)$ satisfies corresponding equation for gradient of the flow. Then formula (3.1) immediately follows from the Itô formula, see [26] for calculations. □

Corollary 3.3. *Assume that* $\nu > 0$ *and* $v \in L^1(0,T; C_b^{1,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n))$ *for some* $\alpha \in (0,1)$. *Let* $(X_s(t;x))_{0\le s\le t\le T}$*, be a stochastic flow corresponding to the following SDE*

$$\begin{aligned} dX_s(t;x) &= v(t, X_s(t;x))\,dt + \sqrt{2\nu}\,dW(t),\ t \in [s,T], \\ X_s(s;x) &= x. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Assume that $F_0 \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *and let* $F \in C^{1,2}([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ *be a solution of the following linear equation* [3]

$$\frac{\partial F(t)}{\partial t} = -\nu AF + \mathrm{P}((v(T-t)\nabla)F - \nabla F v(T-t)), t \in (0,T), \tag{3.4}$$

$$F(0) = F_0, \tag{3.5}$$

Then for any $s \in [0,T]$ *a process* $(M_s(t))_{t\in[T-s,T]}$ *defined by the following formula*

$$M_s(t) = \int\limits_{X_{T-s}(t;\Gamma)} \sum_{k=1}^n F^k(T-t)\,dx_k,\ t \in [T-s,T]$$

is a local martingale.

Proof of Corollary 3.3. This follows immediately from Proposition 3.1. □

Remark 3.4. Corollary 3.3, whose idea is taken from [26], can be seen as a generalization of the Kelvin circulation Theorem, see e.g. [22, p. 26]. Indeed, if $\nu = 0$, then $X_s(t;x)$ is a position of a particle at time t starting from point x at time s, moving in the deterministic velocity field v. Moreover, M_s is the circulation along a curve γ moved by the flow generated by v. Hence, by Proposition 3.1 it follows that the local martingale M_s is constant in time. A similar result has recently been independently derived by Constantin and Iyer, see [6, Proposition 2.9].

Next we deduce from the corollary 3.3 the following formula of the Feynman-Kac type for the solution of equation (3.4).

Proposition 3.5. *Assume that* $v \in L^1(0,T; C_b^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n))$ *for some* $\alpha \in (0,1)$ *and*

$$\int\limits_0^T (|v|_{L^\infty}(s) + |\nabla v|_{L^\infty}(s))\,ds < \infty. \tag{3.6}$$

---

[3] which coincides with Problem (2.11) in the case $n = 3$

Assume that $F : [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ *is a solution of the problem* (3.4)-(3.5) *with* $F_0 \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^4(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *and* $(X_s(t;x))_{0\le s\le t\le T}$ *is a stochastic flow corresponding to SDE* (3.3)*. Assume also that there exists* $\beta > 0$ *such that for any* $\Gamma \in C^1(\mathbb{S}^1, \mathbb{R}^n)$*, where* $\mathbb{S}^1$ *is the unit circle, for all* $s, t \in [0,T]$ *such that* $T - s \le t$*,*

$$\mathbb{E}|\int\limits_{X_{T-s}(t;\Gamma)} F^k(T-t,x)\,dx_k|^{1+\beta} < \infty,\ k = 1,\cdots,n. \tag{3.7}$$

Fix $s \in [0,T]$ *and define a functions* $Q_s : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ *by*

$$Q_s(x) := \mathbb{E}(F_0(X_{T-s}(T;x))\nabla X_{T-s}(T;x))),\quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n\ .$$

Then, $Q_s \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n,\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C^{1+\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n,\mathbb{R}^n)$*,* $0 < \varepsilon < \alpha$ *and*

$$F(s,x) = [\mathrm{P}(Q_s)](x),\ x \in \mathbb{R}^n,\ s \in [0,T]. \tag{3.8}$$

Remark 3.6. In connection with the formula (3.8) we can ask whether the flow $(X_s(t;x))_{0\le s\le t\le T}$ associated to the SDE (3.3) is the only flow such that the function F defined by the formula (3.8) is a solution to problem (3.4-3.5)? It turns out that the answer to this question is negative. In the subsections 3.1 and 3.2 we will consider separately two and three dimensional examples.

Remark 3.7. Condition (3.7) is satisfied if, for instance, $F \in L^\infty([0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$\int\limits_0^T |\nabla v|_{L^\infty}(s)\,ds < \infty.$$

Indeed, in this case we have the following inequality

$$|\nabla X_s(t;\cdot)|_{L^\infty} \le exp(\int\limits_s^t |\nabla v|_{L^\infty}(r)\,dr),\ s \le t \le T,$$

and hence the result follows.

Proof of Proposition 3.5. For fixed $s \in [0,T)$ let us denote

$$M_s(t) = \int\limits_{X_{T-s}(t;\Gamma)} \sum_{k=1}^3 F^k(T-t)\,dx_k,\ t \in [T-s,T]. \tag{3.9}$$

Then by Corollary 3.3 the process $(M_s(t))$, $t \in [T-s,T]$ is a local martingale. Hence, by the uniform integrability condition (3.7) we infer that M_s is martingale and so $\mathbb{E}M_s(T -$

$s) = \mathbb{E}M_s(T)$. In particular,

$$\int\limits_{\Gamma} F^k(s,x)\,dx_k = \int\limits_{\Gamma} Q_s^k(x)\,dx_k, \Gamma \in C^1(S^1, \mathbb{R}^n\ ). \tag{3.10}$$

It immediately follows from Theorems 3.3.3, p.94 and 4.6.5, p.173 of [16] that $Q_s \in C^{1+\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n\ , \mathbb{R}^n\ )$, $0 < \varepsilon < \alpha$. Furthermore, $Q_s \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n\ , \mathbb{R}^n\ )$. Indeed, by definition of the flow (3.3) we have

$$\sup_x |\nabla X_{T-s}(T;x)| \leq e^{\int\limits_0^T |\nabla v|_{L^\infty}(r)\,dr}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} |Q_s(x)|^2\,dx &\leq \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbb{E}|F_0(X_{T-s}(T;x))\nabla X_{T-s}(T;x)|^2\,dx \\
&\leq \mathbb{E}\Big(\sup_x |\nabla X_{T-s}(T;x)|^2 \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} |F_0(X_{T-s}(T;x))|^2\,dx\Big) \\
&\leq e^{\int\limits_0^T |\nabla v|_{L^\infty}(r)\,dr} \mathbb{E} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} |F_0(X_{T-s}(T;x))|^2\,dx \qquad (3.11)\\
&\leq e^{\int\limits_0^T |\nabla v|_{L^\infty}(r)\,dr} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}(|F_0(x + \sqrt{2\nu}(W_T - W_{T-s}))|^2 \mathcal{E}_{T-s}^T)\,dx,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{E}_{T-s}^T = e^{\int\limits_{T-s}^T v(r, X_{T-s}(r;x))\,dW_r - 1/2 \int\limits_{T-s}^T |v(r, X_{T-s}(r;x))|^2\,dr}$ is a stochastic exponent. We can notice that

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}|\mathcal{E}_{T-s}^T|^2 \leq e^{2\int\limits_0^T |v(r)|_{L^\infty}(r)\,dr} \tag{3.12}$$

and, therefore, combining (3.11) and (3.12) we get

$$\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} |Q_s(x)|^2\,dx \leq e^{\int\limits_0^T (|v|_{L^\infty}(r) + |\nabla v|_{L^\infty}(r))\,dr} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} |F_0|^4 dx < \infty. \tag{3.13}$$

It remains to notice that operator $\mathrm{P} : C^\beta(\mathbb{R}^n\ , \mathbb{R}^n\ ) \to C^\beta(\mathbb{R}^n\ , \mathbb{R}^n\ ), \beta \in (0,1)$ is bounded. Indeed, it follows from representation of P as pseudodifferential operator ([11],[33]) and Theorem 7.9.6 in [12]. □

Remark 3.8. Another method of proving the formula (3.8) is presented in the article [5] by Busnello et al., see also literature therein. The approach used there is based upon an extension of the standard Feynman-Kac formula for parabolic equations to more general system of linear parabolic equations with a potential term (see the system (3.2) in [5, p.306]). This extension is carried out by using the new variables method introduced earlier by Krylov [15]. One should mention here that the formula (3.8) is used in [5] to prove the local existence and uniqueness of strong solutions to the NSEs.

3.1. **Examples of nontrivial flows in $\mathbb{R}^2$.** In this subsection we provide nontrivial examples of the flows which can be used in the Feynman-Kac type formula (3.8) in two dimensional case.

Proposition 3.9. *Suppose that* $v \in C_0^\infty([0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^2)$, $\psi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ *is a* C^1*-class diffeomorphism,* $\phi = \psi \circ \operatorname{rot} v$ *and* $F_0 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n\ )$. *Let* $(X_s(t;x))$, $0 \le s \le t \le T$ *be the stochastic flow corresponding to the following SDE*

$$\begin{aligned} dX_s(t;x) &= v(t, X_s(t;x))\,dt + \sqrt{2\nu}\sigma_1(X_s(t;x))\,dW(t), \\ X_s(s;x) &= x, \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

where

$$\sigma_1(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\phi(x) & -\sin\phi(x) \\ \sin\phi(x) & \cos\phi(x) \end{pmatrix}, x \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

Assume that $F : [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^n\ \to \mathbb{R}^n\ $ *is a solution to problem (3.4-3.4) such that for some* $\beta > 0$ *and any* $\Gamma \in C^1(\mathbb{S}^1, \mathbb{R}^2)$ *the condition* (3.7) *is satisfied. Then, the formula* (3.8) *holds true.*

Proof of Proposition 3.9. Suppose that the condition (3.7) is fulfilled. Then, it is enough to show that process $(M_s(t)), t \in [T-s, T]$ defined by formula (3.9) above (where flow $(X_s(t;x)), 0 \le s \le t \le T$ is given by (3.14)) is a local martingale. We have

$$\int\limits_{X_{T-s}(t;\Gamma)} \sum_{k=1}^n F^k(T-t,x)\,dx_k = \int\limits_{\Gamma} \sum_{k=1}^n F^k(s,x)\,dx_k$$

$$+ \int\limits_{T-s}^{t} \int\limits_{X_{T-s}(\tau;\Gamma)} \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial F^k}{\partial t} + \sum_{j=1}^n v^j \Big(\frac{\partial F^k}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial F^j}{\partial x_k}\Big) + \nu \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 F^k}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \sum_{m=1}^n \sigma_1^{im}\sigma_1^{jm} \right) dx_k d\tau +$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\ \nu\int\limits_{T-s}^{t}\ \int\limits_{X_{T-s}(\tau;\Gamma)}\ \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{j,l}\frac{\partial F^j}{\partial x_l}\sum_m\sigma_1^{lm}\frac{\partial\sigma_1^{jm}}{\partial x_k}\right)dx_k d\tau\\
&+\ \sqrt{2\nu}\int\limits_{T-s}^{t}\ \int\limits_{X_{T-s}(\tau;\Gamma)}\ \sum_{k,j=1}^{n}F^j(T-\tau,x)\frac{\partial\sigma_1^{jl}}{\partial x_k}\,dx_k dw_\tau^l\\
&+\ \sqrt{2\nu}\int\limits_{T-s}^{t}\ \int\limits_{X_{T-s}(\tau;\Gamma)}\ \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{i,l=1}\frac{\partial F^k}{\partial x_i}\sigma_1^{il}\right)dx_k\,dW_\tau^l.
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, because σ_1 is orthogonal matrix and F satisfies (3.4) we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial F^k}{\partial t}\ &+\ \sum_{j=1}^{n}v^j(\frac{\partial F^k}{\partial x_j}-\frac{\partial F^j}{\partial x_k})+\nu\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}\frac{\partial^2F^k}{\partial x_i\partial x_j}\sum_{m=1}^{n}\sigma_1^{im}\sigma_1^{jm}\\
&=\ \frac{\partial F^k}{\partial t}+\sum_{j=1}^{n}v^j(\frac{\partial F^k}{\partial x_j}-\frac{\partial F^j}{\partial x_k})+\nu\triangle F^k=\frac{\partial p}{\partial x_k}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, it is enough to show that

$$
\int\limits_{T-s}^{t}\ \int\limits_{X_{T-s}(\tau;\Gamma)}\ \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{j,l}\frac{\partial F^j}{\partial x_l}\sum_m\sigma_1^{lm}\frac{\partial\sigma_1^{jm}}{\partial x_k}\right)dx_k d\tau=0.
$$

We have that $\sum\limits_m\sigma_1^{lm}\frac{\partial\sigma_1^{jm}}{\partial x_k}$ is antisymmetric w.r.t. indexes l,j because σ_1 is orthogonal. Hence $n=2$, it means that it is enough to calculate

$$
\sum_m\sigma_1^{1m}\frac{\partial\sigma_1^{2m}}{\partial x_k}=\cos\phi\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}(\sin\phi)-\sin\phi\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}(\cos\phi)=\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x_k}
$$

and, therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int\limits_{T-s}^{t}\qquad\int\limits_{X_{T-s}(\tau;\Gamma)}\ \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{j,l}\frac{\partial F^j}{\partial x_l}\sum_m\sigma_1^{lm}\frac{\partial\sigma_1^{jm}}{\partial x_k}\right)dx_k d\tau\\
&\qquad=\int\limits_{T-s}^{t}\ \int\limits_{X_{T-s}(\tau;\Gamma)}(\frac{\partial F^1}{\partial x_2}-\frac{\partial F^2}{\partial x_1})\,d\phi d\tau=\int\limits_{T-s}^{t}\ \int\limits_{X_{T-s}(\tau;\Gamma)}\psi^{-1}(\phi)\,d\phi d\tau=0.
\end{aligned}
$$

□

Remark 3.10. The construction of the example from Proposition 3.9 can easily be generalized to the case $n=3$ in the following way. Let $\psi:\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}$ be a C^1-class diffeomorphism.

Define $\phi=\psi\circ(\operatorname{curl} v)^1$ and

$$\sigma_1(x)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}\cos\phi(x) & -\sin\phi(x) & 0\\ \sin\phi(x) & \cos\phi(x) & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right), x\in\mathbb{R}^3.$$

Let $(X_s(t;x))_{0\le s\le t\le T}$ be a stochastic flow corresponding to the following SDE

$$\begin{aligned} dX_s(t;x) &= v(t,X_s(t;x))\,dt+\sqrt{2\nu}\sigma_1(X_s(t;x))\,dW(t), 0\le s\le t\le T \\ X_s(s;x) &= x \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

Then the assertion of Proposition (3.9) holds true.

Note that similar construction can be made for other components of the $\operatorname{curl} v$) but the truly three dimensional rotations σ_1 will be considered in next paragraph.

Remark 3.11. Let us note that the laws of the solutions to SDEs (3.14) and (3.3) are the same. Indeed, it is easy to see that quadratic variations of both processes are the same. In the next example we will show that it is possible to find a flow such that its one-point motion has a law of Brownian motion.

Theorem 3.12. *Suppose that $\nu>0$, $\delta>0$ and a divergence free vector field $v:\mathbb{R}^2\to\mathbb{R}^2$ is of $C^{1+\delta}$ class. Let $\phi:\mathbb{R}^2\to\mathbb{R}$ be such that*[4] *$v=\nabla^\perp\phi$. Define*

$$\sigma_1(x)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\cos\frac{\phi(x)}{\nu} & -\sin\frac{\phi(x)}{\nu}\\ \sin\frac{\phi(x)}{\nu} & \cos\frac{\phi(x)}{\nu}\end{array}\right), x\in\mathbb{R}^2,$$

Let us denote by $X_s(t;x)$, $0\le s\le t\le T, x\in\mathbb{R}^2$ the stochastic flow of diffeomorphisms of $\mathbb{R}^2$ of class C^2 corresponding to the following SDE

$$\left\{\begin{aligned} dX_s(t;x) &= \sqrt{2\nu}\sigma_1(X_s(t;x))\,dW(t),\ 0\le s\le t\le T,\\ X_s(s;x) &= x.\end{aligned}\right. \tag{3.16}$$

Assume also that $F_0\in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)\cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and that $F:[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^2\to\mathbb{R}^2$ is a solution to problem (3.4-3.5) such that for some $\beta>0$ and any $\Gamma\in C^1(\mathbb{S}^1,\mathbb{R}^2)$ the condition (3.7) *is satisfied. Denote $Q_s(x)=\mathbb{E}(F_0(X_{T-s}(T;x))\nabla X_{T-s}(T;x))$. Then $Q_s\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\cap C^{1+\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $0<\varepsilon<\delta$ and*

$$F(s,x)=\mathrm{P}(Q_s)(x),\ s\in[0,T],\ x\in\mathbb{R}^n. \tag{3.17}$$

Remark 3.13. As we have already noticed above the formula (3.17) can be viewed as generalization of Kelvin Theorem as in the Corollary 3.3. Indeed, it is enough to integrate both sides of (3.17) w.r.t. arbitrary smooth closed contour Γ.

---

[4] Such ϕ exists because $\operatorname{div} v=0$.

Proof of Theorem 3.12. From Theorem 4.6.5 , p. 173 in [16] we infer that there exists a flow $X_s(t;x), 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$ for problem (3.16) consisting of diffeomorphisms of class $C^{2+\varepsilon}$

Moreover, it follows from Theorems 3.3.3, p. 94 and 4.6.5, p. 173 therein that for all $s \in [0,T]$, $Q_s \in C^{1+\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$, $0 < \varepsilon < \delta$. Let us fix $s \in [0,T]$. We will show now that $Q_s \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$. Since by Corollary 4.6.7 p. 175 of [16] that there exists a positive constant C such that

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbb{E}|\nabla X_{T-s}(T;x)|^2 \leq C,$$

by the Hölder inequality we infer that

$$\begin{aligned}\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} |Q_s(x)|^2\,dx &\leq \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbb{E}|F_0(X_{T-s}(T;x))|^2 \mathbb{E}|\nabla X_{T-s}(T;x)|^2\,dx \\ &\leq C \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbb{E}|F_0(X_{T-s}(T;x))|^2\,dx. \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

Furthermore, let us observe that the law of the one-point motion of the flow $X_{T-s}(T;x)$ is equal to the law of the Brownian Motion (see example 6.1, p. 75 of [13] for more details). Therefore, we infer that

$$\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbb{E}|F_0(X_{T-s}(T;x))|^2\,dx = \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} |S_s^\nu F_0(x)|^2\,dx \leq \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} |F_0(x)|^2\,dx, \tag{3.19}$$

where $\{S_t^\nu = e^{\nu t\triangle}\}_{t\geq 0}$ is a heat semigroup. Combining inequalities (3.18) and (3.19) we get

$$\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} |Q_s(x)|^2\,dx \leq C \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} |F_0(x)|^2\,dx. \tag{3.20}$$

Similarly to Proposition 3.9 we get that $\int\limits_{X_{T-s}(t;\Gamma)} \sum\limits_{k=1}^{3} F^k(T-t)\,dx_k, t \in [T-s,T]$ is a local martingale. Indeed, correction term in (3.1) due to rotation of Brownian Motion is equal to $\int\limits_{T-s}^{t} \int\limits_{X_{T-s}(\tau;\Gamma)} (\frac{\partial F^1}{\partial x_2} - \frac{\partial F^2}{\partial x_1})\,d\phi\,ds$, see the previous Proposition, and if $v = \nabla^\perp\phi$ this is exactly first order term of two dimensional equation (3.4). $\square$

Corollary 3.14. *Let* $(X_s(t;x))$ $0 \le s \le t \le T, x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ *be the stochastic flow corresponding to SDE* (3.16). *Then*

$$d\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial X_s^1(t;x)}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial X_s^1(t;x)}{\partial x_2} \\ \frac{\partial X_s^2(t;x)}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial X_s^2(t;x)}{\partial x_2} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\nu}\begin{pmatrix} -v_2(t,X_s(t;x))\,dX_s^2(t;x) & v_1(t,X_s(t;x))\,dX_s^2(t;x) \\ v_2(t,X_s(t;x))\,dX_s^1(t;x) & -v_1(t,X_s(t;x))\,dX_s^1(t;x) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial X_s^1(t;x)}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial X_s^1(t;x)}{\partial x_2} \\ \frac{\partial X_s^2(t;x)}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial X_s^2(t;x)}{\partial x_2} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.21}$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial X_s^1(s;x)}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial X_s^1(s;x)}{\partial x_2} \\ \frac{\partial X_s^2(s;x)}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial X_s^2(s;x)}{\partial x_2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof of Corollary 3.14. We have by definition of the flow $(X_s(t;x))$, $0 \le s \le t \le T$ that

$$dX_s^1(t;x) = \sqrt{2\nu}(\cos\frac{\phi}{\nu}(X_s(t;x))\,dw_t^1 - \sin\frac{\phi}{\nu}(X_s(t;x))\,dw_t^2),$$
$$dX_s^1(t;x) = \sqrt{2\nu}(\sin\frac{\phi}{\nu}(X_s(t;x))\,dw_t^1 + \cos\frac{\phi}{\nu}(X_s(t;x))\,dw_t^2),$$
$$X_s(s;x) = x, x \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

Taking derivative of the flow $(X_s(t;x))$, $0 \le s \le t \le T$ with respect to initial condition x we get for the first component of the flow

$$d\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial X_s^1(t;x)}{\partial x_1} \\ \frac{\partial X_s^1(t;x)}{\partial x_2} \end{pmatrix} = \sqrt{2\nu}\begin{pmatrix} (-\frac{1}{\nu}\sin\frac{\phi}{\nu}(X_s(t;x))\,dw_t^1 - \frac{1}{\nu}\cos\frac{\phi}{\nu}(X_s(t;x))\,dw_t^2)(\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x_1}\frac{\partial X_s^1(t;x)}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x_2}\frac{\partial X_s^2(t;x)}{\partial x_1}) \\ (-\frac{1}{\nu}\sin\frac{\phi}{\nu}(X_s(t;x))\,dw_t^1 - \frac{1}{\nu}\cos\frac{\phi}{\nu}(X_s(t;x))\,dw_t^2)(\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x_1}\frac{\partial X_s^1(t;x)}{\partial x_2} + \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x_2}\frac{\partial X_s^2(t;x)}{\partial x_2}) \end{pmatrix} =$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{\nu}\,dX_s^2(t;x)(v_2\frac{\partial X_s^1(t;x)}{\partial x_1} - v_1\frac{\partial X_s^2(t;x)}{\partial x_1}) \\ -\frac{1}{\nu}\,dX_s^2(t;x)(v_2\frac{\partial X_s^1(t;x)}{\partial x_2} - v_1\frac{\partial X_s^2(t;x)}{\partial x_2}) \end{pmatrix},$$

where in the last inequality we have used that $v = \nabla^\perp\phi$ and definition of the flow. Similarly we can get an equation for the gradient of the second component of the flow. The result follows. □

Proposition 3.15. *Suppose that the vector field* $v : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ *is of* C_0^∞ *class and divergence free, i.e.* $\operatorname{div} v = 0$. *Let* $X_s(t;x)$, $0 \le s \le t \le T$ *be the flow corresponding to equation* (3.16). *Identifying* $\mathbb{C}$ *with* $\mathbb{R}^2$ *in the usual way, i.e.* $z = x_1 + \imath x_2$, $x = (x_1, x_2)$, *we can define a flow* $Z_s(t;z)$, $0 \le s \le t \le T$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$ *by* $Z_s(t;z) = X_s^1(t;x) + \imath X_s^1(t;x)$.
If $F_0 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ *and* $F : [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ *is a solution of equation* (3.4) *such that for some* $\beta > 0$ *and any smooth closed loop* Γ *condition* (3.7) *is satisfied, then*

$$\mathbf{F}(t,z) = \mathrm{P}[\mathbb{E}(\overline{\mathbf{F}_0}(Z_{T-t}(T;z)))\frac{\partial Z_{T-t}(T;z)}{\partial\overline{z}} + \mathbf{F}_0(Z_{T-t}(T;z)))\frac{\partial\overline{Z_{T-t}(T;z)}}{\partial\overline{z}}], \tag{3.22}$$

where $\mathbf{F}(t,z) = F^1(t,x) + \imath F^2(t,x)$ *and* $\mathbf{v}(t,z) = v^1(t,x) + \imath v^2(t,x)$.
Moreover, $\frac{\partial Z_s(t;z)}{\partial \overline{z}}$, $\frac{\partial \overline{Z_s(t;z)}}{\partial \overline{z}}$ *satisfy the following system of equations:*

$$\begin{aligned}
d\Big(\frac{\partial Z_s(t;z)}{\partial \overline{z}}\Big) &= \frac{1}{2\nu}\Big(\mathbf{v}(t, Z_s(t;z))\frac{\partial \overline{Z_s(t;z)}}{\partial \overline{z}} - \overline{\mathbf{v}}(t, Z_s(t;z))\frac{\partial Z_s(t;z)}{\partial \overline{z}}\Big)\, dZ_s(t;z) \\
d\Big(\frac{\partial \overline{Z_s(t;z)}}{\partial \overline{z}}\Big) &= \frac{1}{2\nu}\Big(\overline{\mathbf{v}}(t, Z_s(t;z))\frac{\partial Z_s(t;z)}{\partial \overline{z}} - \mathbf{v}(t, Z_s(t;z))\frac{\partial \overline{Z_s(t;z)}}{\partial \overline{z}}\Big)\, d\overline{Z_s(t;z)} \\
\frac{\partial Z_s(s;z)}{\partial \overline{z}} &= 0, \ \frac{\partial \overline{Z_s(s;z)}}{\partial \overline{z}} = 1,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

where $\bar{\cdot}$ *is a complex conjugation.*

Proof of Proposition 3.15. Definition of the flow (3.16) can be reformulated as follows

$$\begin{cases} dZ_s(t;z)(z,\overline{z}) &= \sqrt{2\nu} e^{\frac{\imath\phi(Z_s(t;z),\overline{Z_s(t;z)})}{\nu}}\, dW(t)^{\mathbb{C}}, \\ Z_s(s;z) &= z, \end{cases} \tag{3.24}$$

where $W(t)^{\mathbb{C}} = W(t)^1 + \imath W(t)^2$- wiener process in $\mathbb{C}$. Then equation (3.23) immediately follow from definition (3.24). Formula (3.22) is simply rewriting of formula (3.8). ☐

Remark 3.16. Theorem 3.12 indicates the difference between the passive scalar advection equation and the vector advection equation. In the former case the Feynman-Kac type formula does not contain a gradient of the flow and hence the solution is completely determined by the law of flow itself. Since the rotation of the Brownian Motion does not change the law of the flow, we cannot employ the same trick for the scalar advection equation as we did for the vector advection equation.

Question 3.17. In connection with Theorem 3.12 we can ask if it possible to give a direct proof (not through formula (3.1)) of the fact that the limit as $\nu \to 0$ exists and the limit is a solution to the 2D Euler equations?

3.2. **Examples of nontrivial flows in $\mathbb{R}^3$.** In this subsection we provide nontrivial examples of the flows which can be used in the Feynman-Kac type formula (3.8) in three dimensional case.

We will need the following definitions. Let $\hat{\cdot}$ be the so called hat-map linear isomorphism defined by

$$\hat{\cdot} : \mathbb{R}^3 \ni \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -x_3 & x_2 \\ x_3 & 0 & -x_1 \\ -x_2 & x_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{so}(3),$$

where $\mathfrak{so}(3)$ is the Lie algebra of antisymmetric matrices. Let also $SO(3)$ be the Lie group of orthogonal matrices with determinant equal to one and let $\exp : \mathfrak{so}(3) \ni A \mapsto e^A \in SO(3)$ be the standard exponential map. Let us notice that this map is a surjection.

Denote $S = \ker(\exp)$. Define a map $BCH : \mathfrak{so}(3) \times \mathfrak{so}(3) \to \mathfrak{so}(3)/S$ by

$$\exp(BCH(\hat{u}, \hat{v})) = \exp(\hat{u}) \exp(\hat{v}), \hat{u}, \hat{v} \in \mathfrak{so}(3).$$

Now we will find different form of the term (3.2) appearing in formula (3.1) due to diffusion coefficient σ of the flow $X.$.

Proposition 3.18. *Let* $a \in C^1([0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}^3)$ *and a map* σ *is defined by* $\sigma : [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^3 \ni (t,x) \mapsto \exp(\widehat{a(t,x)}) \in SO(3)$*. If* $|a|(t,x) \neq 0$*, then*

$$\sum_m \sigma^{\cdot m} \frac{\partial \sigma^{\cdot m}}{\partial x_k} = (1 - \cos|a|) \widehat{b \times \frac{\partial b}{\partial x_k}} + \sin|a| \widehat{\frac{\partial b}{\partial x_k}} + \hat{b} \frac{\partial |a|}{\partial x_k}, \tag{3.25}$$

where $\vec{b} = \frac{\vec{a}}{|a|}$*. If* $|a|(t,x) = 0$ *then*

$$\sum_m \sigma^{\cdot m} \frac{\partial \sigma^{\cdot m}}{\partial x_k} = \frac{\partial \vec{a}}{\partial x_k}. \tag{3.26}$$

Remark 3.19. We can notice that the right side if equality (3.25) can be rewritten as follows

$$(1 - \cos|a|) \widehat{b \times \frac{\partial b}{\partial x_k}} + (\sin|a| - |a|) \widehat{\frac{\partial b}{\partial x_k}} + \frac{\partial \vec{a}}{\partial x_k}.$$

Therefore it converges to $\frac{\partial \vec{a}}{\partial x_k}$ when $|a| \to 0, |a| \neq 0$. Hence, in the following considerations we will not to single out the case of $|a|(t,x) = 0$.

Proof of Proposition 3.18. If $a(t,x) = 0$ then formula (3.26) immediately follows from definition of σ. Assume that $a(t,x) \neq 0$. We will use the following Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula in $\mathfrak{so}(3)$, see e.g. [8, p. 630].

Proposition 3.20. *If* $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^3$ *then*

$$BCH(\hat{u}, \hat{v}) = \alpha \hat{u} + \beta \hat{v} + \gamma [\hat{u}, \hat{v}],$$

where $[\hat{u}, \hat{v}]$ *denotes the commutator of* $\hat{u}$ *and* $\hat{v}$*, and* α*,* β*, and* γ *are real constants defined by*

$$\alpha = \frac{\sin^{-1}(d)}{d} \frac{a_1}{\theta}, \beta = \frac{\sin^{-1}(d)}{d} \frac{b_1}{\phi}, \gamma = \frac{\sin^{-1}(d)}{d} \frac{c_1}{\theta \phi},$$

where a_1, b_1, c_1 *and* d *are defined as*

$$\begin{aligned}
a_1 &= \sin\theta\cos^2(\phi/2) - \sin\phi\sin^2(\theta/2)\cos\angle(u,v),\\
b_1 &= \sin\phi\cos^2(\theta/2) - \sin\theta\sin^2(\phi/2)\cos\angle(u,v),\\
c_1 &= \frac{1}{2}\sin(\theta)\sin(\phi) - 2\sin^2(\theta/2)\sin^2(\phi/2)\cos\angle(u,v),\\
d &= \sqrt{a_1^2 + b_1^2 + 2a_1b_1\cos\angle(u,v) + c_1^2\sin^2\angle(u,v)}.
\end{aligned}$$

In the above formulae $\theta = |u|$, $\phi = |v|$, *and* $\angle(u,v)$ *is the angle between the two vectors* u *and* v.

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_m \sigma^{\cdot m}\frac{\partial\sigma^{\cdot m}}{\partial x_k} &= \exp(-\hat{a})\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}\exp(\hat{a}) = \exp(-\hat{a}) \times \lim_{\delta\to 0}\frac{1}{\delta}(\exp(\hat{a}(x+\delta e_k)) - \exp(\hat{a}(x)))\\
&= \lim_{\delta\to 0}\frac{1}{\delta}(\exp(-\hat{a})\exp(\hat{a}(x+\delta e_k)) - \mathrm{id})\\
&= \lim_{\delta\to 0}\frac{1}{\delta}(\exp(BCH(-\hat{a},\hat{a}(x+\delta e_k))) - \mathrm{id}) = \lim_{\delta\to 0}\frac{BCH(-\hat{a},\hat{a}(x+\delta e_k))}{\delta}\\
&= \lim_{\delta\to 0}\frac{\alpha(\delta)(-\hat{a}(x)) + \beta(\delta)\hat{a}(x+\delta e_k) + \gamma(\delta)[-\hat{a}(x),\hat{a}(x+\delta e_k)]}{\delta} = (*),
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last equality we have used Proposition 3.18 with $u = -\hat{a}(x)$, $v = \hat{a}(x+\delta e_k)$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
(*) &= \lim_{\delta\to 0}\beta(\delta)\frac{\hat{a}(x+\delta e_k) - \hat{a}}{\delta} + \hat{a}(x)\lim_{\delta\to 0}\frac{\beta(\delta) - \alpha(\delta)}{\delta}\\
&\qquad - \lim_{\delta\to 0}\gamma(\delta)[\hat{a}(x), \frac{\hat{a}(x+\delta e_k) - \hat{a}(x)}{\delta}]\\
&= \frac{\partial\hat{a}}{\partial x_k}\lim_{\delta\to 0}\beta(\delta) + \hat{a}\lim_{\delta\to 0}\frac{\beta(\delta) - \alpha(\delta)}{\delta} - (\widehat{a \times \frac{\partial a}{\partial x_k}})\lim_{\delta\to 0}\gamma(\delta)
\end{aligned}$$

So, we need to calculate the following three limits.

$$(i) = \lim_{\delta\to 0}\beta(\delta), (ii) = \lim_{\delta\to 0}\frac{\beta(\delta) - \alpha(\delta)}{\delta}, (iii) = \lim_{\delta\to 0}\gamma(\delta).$$

From (3.20) follows that we need to calculate asymptotics of $a_1(\delta)$, $b_1(\delta)$, $c_1(\delta)$, $d(\delta)$, $\delta \to 0$. We have

$$\theta = |a|(x), \phi = |a|(x+\delta e_k) = |a|(x) + \delta\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}|a| + \underline{o}(\delta),$$

$$\cos(\angle(u,v)) = \frac{(-a(x), a(x+\delta e_k))}{|a|(x)|a|(x+\delta e_k)} = -1 + \bar{o}(\delta^2)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
a_1 = \sin|a|(\frac{1+\cos|a|(x+\delta e_k)}{2}) - \sin|a|(x+\delta e_k) \times \\
(\frac{1-\cos|a|(x)}{2})(-1+\bar{o}(\delta^2)) = \\
\sin|a|(\frac{1+\cos(|a|+\delta\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}|a|)}{2}) + (\frac{1-\cos|a|}{2}) \times \\
\sin(|a|+\delta\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}|a|) + \bar{o}(\delta^2) = \\
\frac{\sin|a|}{2}(1+\cos|a| - \sin|a|\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}|a|\delta) + \\
(\frac{1-\cos|a|}{2})(\sin|a| + \cos|a|\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}|a|\delta) + \bar{o}(\delta^2) = \\
= \sin|a|(x) - \frac{1}{2}(1-\cos|a|)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}|a|\delta + \bar{o}(\delta^2)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
b_1 = \sin|a|(x+\delta e_k)(\frac{1+\cos|a|}{2}) - \sin|a| \times \\
(\frac{1-\cos|a|(x+\delta e_k)}{2})(-1+\bar{o}(\delta^2)) = \\
\sin(|a|+\delta\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}|a|)(\frac{1+\cos|a|}{2}) + \\
\sin|a|(\frac{1-\cos(|a|+\delta\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}|a|)}{2}) + \bar{o}(\delta^2) = \\
(\sin|a| + \cos|a|\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}|a|\delta)(\frac{1+\cos|a|}{2}) + \\
\frac{1}{2}\sin|a|(\cos|a| - \delta\sin|a|\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}|a|) + \bar{o}(\delta^2) = \\
\sin|a| + \frac{1}{2}(1+\cos|a|)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}|a|\delta + \bar{o}(\delta^2)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

$$c_1 = 1 - \cos|a| + \bar{o}(\delta) \tag{3.29}$$

$$d = \bar{o}(\delta) \tag{3.30}$$

From (3.27),(3.28),(3.29) and (3.30) we get

$$(iii) = \lim_{\delta\to 0}\frac{\sin^{-1}(d)}{d}\frac{c_1}{|a|(x)|a|(x+\delta e_k)} = \frac{1-\cos|a|}{|a|^2}$$

$$(i) = \lim_{\delta\to 0}\frac{\sin^{-1}(d)}{d}\frac{b_1}{|a|(x+\delta e_k)} = \frac{\sin|a|}{|a|}$$

$$(ii) = \lim_{\delta\to 0} \frac{\sin^{-1}(d)}{d}\frac{1}{\delta}\Big(\frac{\sin|a| + \frac{1}{2}(1+\cos|a|)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}|a|\delta + \bar{o}(\delta^2)}{|a|(x+\delta e_k)} -$$
$$\frac{\sin|a|(x) - \frac{1}{2}(1-\cos|a|)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}|a|\delta + \bar{o}(\delta^2)}{|a|}\Big) = \frac{|a| - \sin|a|}{|a|^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}|a|$$

Thus, we get

$$\exp(-\hat{a})\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}\exp(\hat{a}) = \frac{\sin|a|}{|a|}\frac{\partial\hat{a}}{\partial x_k} + \frac{|a| - \sin|a|}{|a|^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}|a|\hat{a} + \tag{3.31}$$

$$\frac{\cos|a| - 1}{|a|^2}\widehat{a \times \frac{\partial a}{\partial x_k}} \tag{3.32}$$

If we put $b = \frac{a}{|a|}$ and insert it in (3.32) we get (3.25). $\square$

Everywhere below we assume that $v \in L^1(0,T; C_b^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n))$ for some $\alpha \in (0,1)$.

Corollary 3.21. *Let* $(X_s(t;x))$, $0 \le s \le t \le T$ *be the stochastic flow corresponding to*

$$\begin{aligned} dX_s(t;x) &= v(t, X_s(t;x))\,dt + \sqrt{2\nu}\sigma_1(t, X_s(t;x))\,dW(t), \\ X_s(s;x) &= x, \end{aligned} \tag{3.33}$$

where $\sigma_1(t,x) = \exp(\hat{a})(t,x)$, $b = \frac{a}{|a|} \in S(2)$. *Then for all* $s,t \in [0,T]$ *such that* $s \le t$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int\limits_{X_{T-s}(t;\Gamma)} \sum_{k=1}^n F^k(T-t,x)\,dx_k = \int\limits_\Gamma \sum_{k=1}^n F^k(s,x)\,dx_k \\ &+ \int\limits_{T-s}^t \int\limits_{X_{T-s}(\tau;\Gamma)} \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial F^k}{\partial t} + \sum_{j=1}^n v^j\Big(\frac{\partial F^k}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial F^j}{\partial x_k}\Big) + \nu\triangle F^k\right) dx_k d\tau \\ &+ \nu\int\limits_{T-s}^t \int\limits_{X_{T-s}(\tau;\Gamma)} \Big(\operatorname{curl} F, (1-\cos|a|)b \times \frac{\partial b}{\partial x_k} + \sin|a|\frac{\partial b}{\partial x_k} + b\frac{\partial|a|}{\partial x_k}\Big)\,dx_k d\tau \\ &+ \sqrt{2\nu}\int\limits_{T-s}^t \int\limits_{X_{T-s}(\tau;\Gamma)} \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\sum_{i,l=1}\Big(\frac{\partial F^k}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial F^i}{\partial x_k}\Big)\sigma_1^{il}\right) dx_k\, dW_\tau^l. \end{aligned} \tag{3.34}$$

Proof of Corollary 3.21. Immediately follows from Proposition 3.18 and identity

$$\sum_{i,j}\frac{\partial F^i}{\partial x_j}(\hat{a})^{ij} = (\operatorname{curl} F, a).$$

$\square$

Remark 3.22. The vector b can be interpreted as the axis of rotation of σ and $\phi = |a|$ as the angle of rotation.

Now, we present a three dimensional analog of the two dimensional result from Proposition 3.9.

Proposition 3.23. *Assume that* $F_0 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $v \in L^1(0,T; C_b^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n))$, $\alpha \in (0,1)$, v *satisfies condition* (3.6)*, and* $F \in L^\infty([0,T]; C^{2+\delta}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}^3))$ *is a solution of equation* (3.4)-(3.5) *such that for some* $\beta > 0$ *and any smooth closed loop* Γ *condition* (3.7) *is satisfied. Let* $(X_s(t;x))$, $0 \le s \le t \le T$ *be the stochastic flow corresponding to*

$$\begin{aligned} dX_s(t;x) &= v(t, X_s(t;x))\,dt + \sqrt{2\nu}\sigma_1(t, X_s(t;x))\,dW(t), \\ X_s(s;x) &= x, \end{aligned} \tag{3.35}$$

where $\sigma_1(t,x) = \exp(\hat{a}(t,x))$, $a = \operatorname{curl} F$. *Fix* $s \in [0,T]$ *and define a functions* $Q_s : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ *by* $Q_s = \mathbb{E}(F_0(X_{T-s}(T;x))\nabla X_{T-s}(T;x))$.

Then, $Q_s \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}^3) \cap C^\varepsilon(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}^3)$, $0 < \varepsilon < \alpha$ *and*

$$F(s,x) = [\mathrm{P}(Q_s)](x),\ x \in \mathbb{R}^3,\ s \in [0,T]. \tag{3.36}$$

Proof of Proposition 3.23. In view of [16, Theorem 4.6.5, p.173] we infer that there exists solution $X_s(t;x), 0 \le s \le t \le T$ of problem (3.35) and $X_s(t;x), 0 \le s \le t \le T$ is a flow of C^1–diffeomorphisms. Furthermore, it is $C^{1+\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$-valued process for any $0 < \varepsilon < \delta$.

Moreover, it follows from Theorems 3.3.3, p.94 and 4.6.5, p. 173 therein that for all $s \in [0,T]$, $Q_s \in C^\varepsilon(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$, $0 < \varepsilon < \delta$. Let us fix $s \in [0,T]$. We will show now that $Q_s \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$. Since by Corollary 4.6.7 p. 175 of [16] that there exists a positive constant C such that

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \mathbb{E}|\nabla X_{T-s}(T;x)|^2 \le C,$$

by the Hölder inequality we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |Q_s(x)|^2\,dx &\le \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbb{E}|F_0(X_{T-s}(T;x))|^2 \mathbb{E}|\nabla X_{T-s}(T;x)|^2\,dx \\ &\le C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbb{E}|F_0(X_{T-s}(T;x))|^2\,dx. \end{aligned} \tag{3.37}$$

Now it follows from Girsanov Theorem that

$$\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbb{E}|F_0(X_{T-s}(T;x))|^2\,dx = \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}(|F_0(x+\sqrt{2\nu}(W_T - W_{T-s}))|^2 \mathcal{E}_{T-s}^T)\,dx, \tag{3.38}$$

where $\mathcal{E}_{T-s}^T = e^{\int\limits_{T-s}^{T} v(r,X_{T-s}(r;x))\,dW_r - 1/2 \int\limits_{T-s}^{T} |v(r,X_{T-s}(r;x))|^2\,dr}$ is a stochastic exponent. We can notice that

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}|\mathcal{E}_{T-s}^T|^2 \le e^{2\int\limits_0^T |v(r)|_{L^\infty}(r)\,dr} \tag{3.39}$$

and, therefore, combining (3.37), (3.38) and (3.39) we get

$$\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} |Q_s(x)|^2\,dx \le e^{\int\limits_0^T |v|_{L^\infty}(r)\,dr} \left(\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} |F_0|^4 dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{3.40}$$

Now let us show that $\int\limits_{X_{T-s}(t;\Gamma)} \sum\limits_{k=1}^{3} F^k(T-t)\,dx_k, t \in [T-s,T]$ is a local martingale. It is enough to prove that the ”correction” term (due to nontrivial σ_1) in the formula (3.34) disappears.

Since $b = \frac{\operatorname{curl} F}{|\operatorname{curl} F|}$, $|b| = 1$, $|a| = |\operatorname{curl} F|$ we have

$$(\operatorname{curl} F, \frac{\partial b}{\partial x_k}) = |\operatorname{curl} F|(b, \frac{\partial b}{\partial x_k}) = 0.$$

Similarly,

$$(\operatorname{curl} F, b \times \frac{\partial b}{\partial x_k}) = |\operatorname{curl} F|(b, b \times \frac{\partial b}{\partial x_k}) = 0,$$

and

$$(\operatorname{curl} F, b)\frac{\partial|\operatorname{curl} F|}{\partial x_k} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial|\operatorname{curl} F|^2}{\partial x_k}.$$

□

Question 3.24. It would be interesting to generalize Theorem 3.12 to the three dimensional case. In view of Corollary 3.21 in order to find such generalization it is enough to prove that for any solution F of equation (3.4) with v being the corresponding C^∞) vector field, there exists a triple $(b,\phi,\psi) \in (L^\infty([0,T],C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3,S^2)), L^\infty([0,T],C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3,S^1)), L^\infty([0,T],C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3,\mathbb{R})))$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}(\cos\phi - 1)(\operatorname{curl} F, b \times \frac{\partial b}{\partial x_k}) &+ \sin\phi(\operatorname{curl} F, \frac{\partial b}{\partial x_k}) \\ +(\operatorname{curl} F, b)\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_k} &+ \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_k} = \frac{(v \times \operatorname{curl} F)^k}{\nu}\ , k = 1,2,3.\end{aligned} \tag{3.41}$$

We can notice that system (3.41) is time independent in the sense that there are no time derivatives of the unknown functions. Therefore it is enough to consider the system for every fixed time $t \in [0,T]$. If the solenoidal vector field v is two dimensional, i.e. $\operatorname{div} v = 0$, $v_3 = 0$ and the components v_1, v_2 do not depend upon variable x_3, then $b = (0,0,1)$, $\phi = \phi_1/\nu$, where ϕ_1 is a stream function for v, $\psi = 0$, is a solution of the system (3.41), see Theorem 3.12. However, in the three dimensional case the problem is completely open. One of the possibilities to narrow the problem is to consider the case when $F = u$ is a solution to the Navier-Stokes equations.

Question 3.25. Another question connected with system (3.41) is as follows. How do variables b, ϕ, ψ depend upon ν? Can one take the ν to 0 limit in the representation (3.41)? But let us note that in the two dimensional case under the additional condition of incompressibility $\operatorname{div} v = 0$, the representation (3.41) holds also in the limit $\nu \to 0$. Indeed, in two dimensional case the stream function corresponding to the vector field v exists because $\operatorname{div} v = 0$ and is independent of F and ν.

Remark 3.26. The Question 3.24 can be reformulated in the following way.

Problem A. Find a C^1-class function $\sigma : \mathbb{R}^3 \to SO(3)$ such that for any smooth closed loop Γ

$$\sum_k \int_\Gamma \sum_j v^j \Big(\frac{\partial F^k}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial F^j}{\partial x_k}\Big)\, dx_k = \nu \sum_{k=1}^n \int_\Gamma \sum_{j,l,m} \frac{\partial F^j}{\partial x_l} \sigma^{lm} \frac{\partial \sigma^{jm}}{\partial x_k}\, dx_k. \tag{3.42}$$

Let $\wedge$ be the wedge product, see e.g. [30, p.79], and denote

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \frac{1}{\nu} \sum_{j,k} v^j \Big(\frac{\partial F^k}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial F^j}{\partial x_k}\Big)\, dx_k, \\ w &= \operatorname{curl} F. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $\sigma : \mathbb{R}^3 \to SO(3)$ is a C^1-class function. Let us define a matrix valued function A,

$$A = d\sigma \sigma^{-1}. \tag{3.43}$$

Then the matrix A is antisymmetric and has the following form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -a_3 & a_2 \\ a_3 & 0 & -a_1 \\ -a_2 & a_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.44}$$

where $a_i(x), i = 1, 2, 3, x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ are 1-forms. Moreover, A satisfies system

$$dA + A \wedge A = 0, \tag{3.45}$$

or, in terms of 1-forms $a_i, i = 1, 2, 3$, equivalently

$$\begin{aligned} da_1 &= a_3 \wedge a_2 \\ da_2 &= a_1 \wedge a_3 \\ da_3 &= a_2 \wedge a_1. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, if arbitrary antisymmetric matrix A of one-forms satisfies (3.45) then there exists $\sigma : \mathbb{R}^3 \to SO(3)$ such that (3.43) is satisfied. Notice that the right part of formula (3.42) can be rewritten as follows

$$\nu \int\limits_{\Gamma} \sum_{i=1}^{3} w_i a_i. \tag{3.46}$$

Indeed,

$$\sum_{k,m} \sigma^{\cdot m} \frac{\partial \sigma^{\cdot m}}{\partial x_k} \, dx_k = d\sigma \sigma^{\perp} = d\sigma \sigma^{-1} = A.$$

Now we can rewrite formula (3.42) as follows

$$\int\limits_{\Gamma} \alpha = -\int\limits_{\Gamma} \sum_{i=1}^{3} w_i a_i, \tag{3.47}$$

Hence, we can reformulate the equation (3.41) as follows

$$\sum_{i=1}^{3} w_i a_i = -\alpha + d\psi. \tag{3.48}$$

Thus, Problem A can be solved in two stages. First, we need to solve system

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} da_1 &= a_3 \wedge a_2 \\ da_2 &= a_1 \wedge a_3 \\ da_3 &= a_2 \wedge a_1 \\ \sum_{i=1}^{3} w_i a_i &= -\alpha + d\psi. \end{aligned} \right. \tag{3.49}$$

Then we need to find $\sigma : \mathbb{R}^3 \to SO(3)$ from equation (3.43). Existence of such σ follows from first three equations of system (3.49).

Applying the exterior derivative operator d to the last equation of the system (3.49) we can get rid of function ψ and thus we get equivalent system

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcl} da_1 &=& a_3 \wedge a_2 \\ da_2 &=& a_1 \wedge a_3 \\ da_3 &=& a_2 \wedge a_1 \\ -d\alpha &=& \sum_{i=1}^{3} dw_i \wedge a_i + w_1 a_3 \wedge a_2 + w_2 a_1 \wedge a_3 + w_3 a_2 \wedge a_1. \end{array}\right. \tag{3.50}$$

This system can be reformulated in terms of matrix-valued 1-form A as follows:

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcl} dA + A \wedge A &=& 0 \\ tr(WA \wedge A + dW \wedge A) &=& 2\, d\alpha, \end{array}\right. \tag{3.51}$$

where

$$W = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -w_3 & w_2 \\ w_3 & 0 & -w_1 \\ -w_2 & w_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus we have quadratic equation on the space of flat connections.

Another application of Proposition 3.1 is a Feynman-Kac type formula for solutions of the following equation

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} = -\nu A_0 F + (v(T-\cdot)\cdot\nabla)F - (F\cdot\nabla)v(T-\cdot),\ t>0,\ x\in\mathbb{R}^n\ , \tag{3.52}$$

$$F(0) = F_0, \tag{3.53}$$

where A_0 is a Stokes operator, $F_0 \in H$ and v satisfies condition (2.5). For the simplicity sake we formulate the result for $n=3$.

Proposition 3.27. *Let* $v \in L^1(0,T; C_b^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n))$ *for some* $\alpha \in (0,1)$, v *satisfies condition* (3.6), $(X_s(t;x))$, $0 \le s \le t < \infty$ *is the flow corresponding to problem* (3.3), $F_0 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *and* F *is a solution of equation* (3.52) *such that there exists* $\beta > 0$*:*

$$\mathbb{E}\Big|\int_{X_{T-s}(t;S)} F^1(T-t,x)\,dx_2\,dx_3 + F^2(T-t,x)\,dx_3 dx_1 + F^3(T-t,x)\,dx_1 dx_3\Big|^{1+\beta} < \infty \tag{3.54}$$

for any smooth surface $S \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ with smooth boundary Γ and all $0 \le T-s \le t \le T$. Then it satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
F^1(s,x) = \mathbb{E}[&F_0^1(X_{T-s}(T;x))(\frac{\partial X^2_{T-s}(T;x)}{\partial x_2}\frac{\partial X^3_{T-s}(T;x)}{\partial x_3} - \frac{\partial X^2_{T-s}(T;x)}{\partial x_3}\frac{\partial X^3_{T-s}(T;x)}{\partial x_2}) \\
&+F_0^2(X_{T-s}(T;x))(\frac{\partial X^3_{T-s}(T;x)}{\partial x_2}\frac{\partial X^1_{T-s}(T;x)}{\partial x_3} - \frac{\partial X^3_{T-s}(T;x)}{\partial x_3}\frac{\partial X^1_{T-s}(T;x)}{\partial x_2}) \\
&+F_0^3(X_{T-s}(T;x))(\frac{\partial X^1_{T-s}(T;x)}{\partial x_2}\frac{\partial X^2_{T-s}(T;x)}{\partial x_3} - \frac{\partial X^1_{T-s}(T;x)}{\partial x_3}\frac{\partial X^2_{T-s}(T;x)}{\partial x_2})
\end{aligned} \tag{3.55}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
F^2(s,x) = \mathbb{E}[&F_0^1(X_{T-s}(T;x))(\frac{\partial X^2_{T-s}(T;x)}{\partial x_3}\frac{\partial X^3_{T-s}(T;x)}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial X^2_{T-s}(T;x)}{\partial x_1}\frac{\partial X^3_{T-s}(T;x)}{\partial x_3}) \\
&+F_0^2(X_{T-s}(T;x))(\frac{\partial X^3_{T-s}(T;x)}{\partial x_3}\frac{\partial X^1_{T-s}(T;x)}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial X^3_{T-s}(T;x)}{\partial x_1}\frac{\partial X^1_{T-s}(T;x)}{\partial x_3}) \\
&+F_0^3(X_{T-s}(T;x))(\frac{\partial X^1_{T-s}(T;x)}{\partial x_3}\frac{\partial X^2_{T-s}(T;x)}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial X^1_{T-s}(T;x)}{\partial x_1}\frac{\partial X^2_{T-s}(T;x)}{\partial x_3})
\end{aligned} \tag{3.56}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
F^3(s,x) = \mathbb{E}[&F_0^1(X_{T-s}(T;x))(\frac{\partial X^2_{T-s}(T;x)}{\partial x_1}\frac{\partial X^3_{T-s}(T;x)}{\partial x_2} - \frac{\partial X^2_{T-s}(T;x)}{\partial x_2}\frac{\partial X^3_{T-s}(T;x)}{\partial x_1}) \\
&+F_0^2(X_{T-s}(T;x))(\frac{\partial X^3_{T-s}(T;x)}{\partial x_1}\frac{\partial X^1_{T-s}(T;x)}{\partial x_2} - \frac{\partial X^3_{T-s}(T;x)}{\partial x_2}\frac{\partial X^1_{T-s}(T;x)}{\partial x_1}) \\
&+F_0^3(X_{T-s}(T;x))(\frac{\partial X^1_{T-s}(T;x)}{\partial x_1}\frac{\partial X^2_{T-s}(T;x)}{\partial x_2} - \frac{\partial X^1_{T-s}(T;x)}{\partial x_2}\frac{\partial X^2_{T-s}(T;x)}{\partial x_1})
\end{aligned} \tag{3.57}$$

Proof of Proposition 3.27. The result follows from Proposition 3.5. Indeed, let $G \in L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}^n,\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C^{1+\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n,\mathbb{R}^n))$, $0 < \varepsilon < \alpha$ be a solution of equation (3.4)-(3.5). Its existence follows from Proposition 3.5. Then $F = \operatorname{curl} G$ is a solution of equation (3.52). For solution G of (3.4) we have got representation by formula (3.8) of Feynman-Kac type. Integrating it w.r.t. closed contour Γ we get

$$\int_\Gamma \sum_k G^k(s,x)\,dx_k = \mathbb{E}(\int_{X_{T-s}(T;\Gamma)} \sum_k G_0^k(x)\,dx_k). \tag{3.58}$$

Now, result immediately follows from Stokes Theorem. □

Remark 3.28. On an informal level, the Feynman-Kac type formula (3.55)-(3.57) in the case of $\nu = 0$ can be seen as a solution of the following informal infinite dimensional first order PDE obtained by the characteristics method. Indeed, let us denote by Y the set of all smooth surfaces $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with smooth boundary Γ. Let TY be the set of all smooth vector fields on Y. If F is a solution of equation (3.52) with parameters $\nu = 0$ and

$v \in C_0^\infty([0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, then $\tilde{F}$ defined by

$$\tilde{F} : [0,\infty) \times Y \ni (t,S) \mapsto \int_S (F(t,\cdot),\vec{n})\, d\sigma \in \mathbb{R},$$

is a solution to the following equation

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{F}}{\partial t} = D_{\tilde{v}} \tilde{F}, \tag{3.59}$$

where $D_{\tilde{v}}$ is directional derivative along the vector field $\tilde{v} \in TY$ defined by

$$Y \ni S \mapsto \bigcup_{x \in S} v(x) \in TY.$$

Then, on a purely speculative level, the solution to equation (3.59) obtained via the characteristics method is exactly our Feynman-Kac type formula.

Remark 3.29. In a forthcoming publication the authors will consider the case of equations with less regular velocity vector fields than those considered in the current paper. Transport equations with irregular velocity field have been a subject of a great variety of works, see e.g. recent works by Lions and Di Perna [7], Maniglia [21], Bouchot, James and Mancini [2], and references therein. Our plan is to combine the results of Maniglia [21] with our work i.e. to find probabilistic representation of solution of vector advection equation with irregular velocity and then study the limit as the viscosity ν converges to 0.

4. PROOFS OF RESULTS FROM SECTION 2

Proof of Proposition 2.2.(i) The proof will be divided into three parts a), b), c).

a) Let us consider a special case when $v \in L^\infty(0,T;\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta_0}(D))$. We will use Theorem 1.3 with Gelfand triple $V \subset H \cong H' \subset V'$. Denote $A(t) = \nu A + B(v(t),\cdot)$. We need to check whether the conditions (1.5) and (1.6) are satisfied. We have,

$$\langle A(t)f, f\rangle_{V',V} = \nu\tilde{a}(f,f) + \langle B(v(t),f), f\rangle_{V',V},\ f \in V. \tag{4.1}$$

The second term on the RHS of the equality (4.1) from (1.13) can be estimated as follows

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle B(v(t),f), f\rangle_{V',V}| &\le \frac{1}{2}\|f\|_V^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon^{1+\delta_0/3}\|f\|_V^2 \\ &+ \frac{C_{\delta_0}}{\varepsilon^{1+3/\delta_0}}|v(t)|_{\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta_0}(D)}^{2+\frac{6}{\delta_0}}|f|_H^2), \varepsilon > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Thus from the inequality (4.2) and the continuity of form $\tilde{a}$ we infer that,

$$\|A(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(V,V')} \le C\nu + C_2|v(t)|_{\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta_0}(D)}. \tag{4.3}$$

The coercivity assumption (1.6) also follows from the inequality (1.13). Indeed, for $f \in V$, $t \in [0,T]$ we have

$$\begin{gathered}|\langle A(t)f,f\rangle_{V',V}| = |\nu\tilde{a}(f,f) + \langle B(v(t),f),f\rangle_{V',V}| \geq \\ \frac{\nu}{2}\|f\|_V^2 - \frac{C}{\nu}(\varepsilon^{1+\delta_0/3}\|f\|_V^2 + \frac{C_{\delta_0}}{\varepsilon^{1+3/\delta}}|v(t)|^{2+\frac{6}{\delta_0}}_{\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta_0}(D)}|f|_H^2).\end{gathered}$$

By choosing $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\frac{\nu}{2} - \frac{C}{\nu}\varepsilon^{1+\delta_0/3} > 0$ we conclude the proof of the coercivity condition (1.6). Thus, by the Theorem 1.3, first statement of the Proposition follows.

b) To prove Proposition in the general case we will show an energy inequality for solutions of equation (2.1-2.2) when $v \in L^\infty(0,T;\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta_0}(D))$. From step (a) we know that a solution $F \in L^2(0,T;V)$ such that $F' \in L^2(0,T;V')$ exists and unique. Then, from Lemma 1.1 and equality (1.19) we infer that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}|F|_H^2 &= -\nu\|F\|_V^2 + \langle f,F\rangle_{V',V} - \langle B(v,F),F\rangle_{V',V} \\ &= -\nu\|F\|_V^2 + \langle f,F\rangle_{V',V} + (\operatorname{curl} F, v \times F)_H.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by applying the Young inequality, we infer that

$$\begin{aligned}|F(t)|_H^2 &+ 2\nu\int_0^t |F(s)|_V^2\,ds - \int_0^t (\operatorname{curl} F(s), v(s) \times F(s))_H\,ds \\ &= |F(0)|_H^2 + \int_0^t \langle f(s),F(s)\rangle_{V',V}\,ds \\ &\leq |F(0)|_H^2 + \frac{\nu}{2}\int_0^t |F(s)|_V^2\,ds + \frac{C}{\nu}\int_0^t |f(s)|_{V'}^2\,ds.\end{aligned}$$

The term $\int\limits_0^t (\operatorname{curl} F(s), v(s)\times F(s))_H\,ds$ can be estimated as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\int\limits_0^t & (\operatorname{curl} F(s), v(s)\times F(s))_H\,ds| \\
&\le \frac{\nu}{4}\int\limits_0^t |\operatorname{curl} F|_H^2\,ds + \frac{C}{\nu}\int\limits_0^t |v(s)\times F(s)|_H^2\,ds\\
&\le \frac{\nu}{4}\int\limits_0^t |\operatorname{curl} F|_H^2\,ds + \frac{C}{\nu}\int\limits_0^t \Big(\varepsilon^{1+\delta_0/3}|F(s)|_V^2 + \frac{C_{\delta_0}}{\varepsilon^{1+\delta_0/3}}|v(s)|^{2+6/\delta_0}_{\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta_0}}|F(s)|_H^2\Big)\,ds\\
&\le \Big(\frac{\nu}{4}+\frac{C}{\nu}\varepsilon^{1+\delta_0/3}\Big)\int\limits_0^t |F(s)|_V^2\,ds + \frac{C_{\delta_0}}{\nu\varepsilon^{1+\delta_0/3}}\int\limits_0^t |v(s)|^{2+6/\delta_0}_{\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta_0}}|F(s)|_H^2\,ds.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.4}
$$

Let us choose $\varepsilon>0$ such that $\frac{\nu}{4}+\frac{C}{\nu}\varepsilon^{1+\delta_0/3}=\frac{\nu}{2}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
|F(t)|_H^2 + \nu\int\limits_0^t \|F(s)\|_V^2\,ds &\le |F(0)|_H^2 + \frac{C}{\nu}\int\limits_0^t |f(s)|_{V'}^2\,ds\\
&+\frac{C_{\delta_0}}{\nu\varepsilon^{1+\delta_0/3}}\int\limits_0^t |v(s)|^{2+6/\delta_0}_{\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta_0}}|F(s)|_H^2\,ds, t\ge 0.
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, in view of the Gronwall Lemma, we get

$$
|F(t)|_H^2 \le \left(|F(0)|_H^2 + \frac{C}{\nu}\int\limits_0^t |f(s)|_{V'}^2\,ds\right) e^{C(\delta_0,\nu)\int\limits_0^t |v(s)|^{2+6/\delta_0}_{\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta_0}}\,ds}, t\ge 0.
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
|F(t)|_H^2 + \nu\int\limits_0^t \|F(s)\|_V^2\,ds &\le K_1\left(|F(0)|_H^2 + \frac{C}{\nu}\int\limits_0^t |f(s)|_{V'}^2\,ds\right)\\
&\left(1+\int\limits_0^t |v(s)|^{2+6/\delta_0}_{\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta_0}}\,ds\right) e^{C(\delta_0,\nu)\int\limits_0^t |v(s)|^{2+6/\delta_0}_{\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta_0}}\,ds}, t\ge 0.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.5}
$$

(c) The general case. Let $v_n\in L^\infty(0,T;\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta_0}(D))$ be a sequence of functions such that $v_n\to v$ in $L^{2+\frac{6}{\delta_0}}(0,T;\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta_0}(D))$. Let F_n be a corresponding sequence of solutions of equation (2.1-2.2) with v being replaced by v_n. Then from inequality (4.5) it follows that the sequence $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ lies in a bounded set of $L^\infty(0,T;H)\cap L^2(0,T;V)$. Therefore, by the Banach-Alaoglu Theorem there exists subsequence $\{F_{n'}\}$ and $F^*\in L^\infty(0,T;H)$ such that for any $q \in L^1(0,T;H)$

$$\int_0^T (F_{n'} - F^*, q(s))_H \, ds \to 0 \tag{4.6}$$

Similarly, from the Banach-Alaoglu Theorem it follows that we can find a subsequence $\{F_{n''}\}$ of $\{F_{n'}\}$ convergent to $F^{**} \in L^2(0,T;V)$ weakly i.e. for any $q \in L^2(0,T;V')$

$$\int_0^T \langle F_{n''} - F^{**}, q(s)\rangle_{V',V} \, ds \to 0, \tag{4.7}$$

In particular, (4.6) and (4.7) are satisfied for $q \in L^2(0,T;H)$. Therefore $F^* = F^{**} \in L^\infty(0,T;H) \cap L^2(0,T;V)$. Put $F = F^*$. Let us now show that F satisfies equation (2.1-2.2) in the weak sense. Let $\psi \in C^\infty([0,T],\mathbb{R})$, $\psi(1) = 0$, $h \in V$. Then by part (a) of the proof we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\int_0^T (F_n(s),h)_H \psi'(s)\, ds \quad &+ \quad \int_0^T \langle B(v_n,F_n),h\rangle_{V',V}\psi(s)\, ds + \nu \int_0^T \tilde{a}(F_n(s),h)\psi(s)\, ds \\ &= \quad (F_0,h)_H\psi(0) + \int_0^T \langle f(s),h\rangle_{V',V}\psi(s)\, ds. \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

Convergence of the first term, respectively third term, follows immediately from (4.6), respectively (4.7). For the second term we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\int_0^T \langle B(v_n,F_n) - B(v,F),h\rangle_{V',V}\psi(s)\, ds\right| &\le \left|\int_0^T \langle B(v_n - v,F_n),h\rangle_{V',V}\psi(s)\, ds\right| \\ &+ \left|\int_0^T \langle B(v,F_n - F),h\rangle_{V',V}\psi(s)\, ds\right| = I_n + II_n. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be fixed. For any $\varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 > 0$ we have, by inequality (1.13), the following inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} I_n \quad &\le \quad \varepsilon_3 \int_0^T |\operatorname{curl} F_n|_H^2 \, ds + \frac{C}{\varepsilon_3}\int_0^T \left(\varepsilon_2 |h|_V^2 + \frac{C}{\varepsilon_2}|v_n - v|_{\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta_0}(D)}^{2+\frac{6}{\delta_0}} |h|_H^2\right)|\psi|^2 \, ds \\ &= \quad \varepsilon_3 \|F_n\|_{L^2(0,T;V)}^2 + \frac{C\varepsilon_2}{\varepsilon_3}|h|_V^2 \int_0^T |\psi|^2 \, ds + \frac{C|h|_H^2}{\varepsilon_3\varepsilon_2}\int_0^T |v_n - v|_{\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta_0}(D)}^{2+\frac{6}{\delta_0}} |\psi|^2 \, ds. \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account boundedness of the sequence $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in $L^2(0,T;V)$ and the convergence of $\{v_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ to v in $L^{2+\frac{6}{\delta_0}}(0,T;\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta_0}(D))$, we can choose $\varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3$ and $N = N(\varepsilon)$ in such way that $I_n \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$, for $n \geq N$.

For II_n we have $II_n = |\int\limits_0^T \langle F_n - F, \mathrm{curl}(v \times h)\rangle_{V',V}\psi(s)\,ds|$. From inequality (1.15) it follows that $v \times h \in L^2(0,T;H)$. Therefore, $\mathrm{curl}(v \times h) \in L^2(0,T;V')$ and the convergence of II_n to 0 follows from inequality (4.7). The uniqueness of F follows from the energy inequality (4.5). It remains to show that $F \in C([0,T],H_w)$. Let us show that $F \in C([0,T],V')$. Then, since $F \in L^\infty(0,T;H)$, it immediately follows from [33, Lemma 1.4, p.178] that $F \in C([0,T],H_w)$. To prove that $F \in C([0,T],V')$ it is enough to show that $F' \in L^1(0,T;V')$. Indeed, we have that $F \in L^\infty(0,T;H) \subset L^1(0,T;V')$ and by [33, Lemma 1.1, p.169] the result follows. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
|F'|^{1+\frac{3}{2\delta_0+3}}_{L^{1+\frac{3}{2\delta_0+3}}(0,T;V')} &= |AF|^{1+\frac{3}{2\delta_0+3}}_{L^{1+\frac{3}{2\delta_0+3}}(0,T;V')} = \int\limits_0^T |A(s)F(s)|_{V'}^{1+\frac{3}{2\delta_0+3}}\,ds \\
&\leq \int\limits_0^T |A(s)|^{1+\frac{3}{2\delta_0+3}}_{\mathcal{L}(V,V')} |F(s)|_V^{1+\frac{3}{2\delta_0+3}}\,ds \\
&\leq \Big(\int\limits_0^T |F(s)|_V^2\,ds\Big)^{\frac{\delta_0+3}{2\delta_0+3}} \Big(\int\limits_0^T |A(s)|^{2+\frac{6}{\delta_0}}_{\mathcal{L}(V,V')}\,ds\Big)^{\frac{\delta_0}{2\delta_0+3}} \\
&\leq \Big(\int\limits_0^T |F(s)|_V^2\,ds\Big)^{\frac{\delta_0+3}{2\delta_0+3}} \Big(\int\limits_0^T (C_1\nu + C_2|v(s)|_{\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta_0}(D)})^{2+\frac{6}{\delta_0}}\,ds\Big)^{\frac{\delta_0}{2\delta_0+3}} \\
&\leq C|F|^{\frac{2\delta_0+6}{2\delta_0+3}}_{L^2(0,T;V)}\Big(C_1(\nu,T,\delta_0) + |v|^{\frac{2\delta_0+6}{2\delta_0+3}}_{L^{2+\frac{6}{\delta_0}}(0,T;\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta_0}(D))}\Big) < \infty, \qquad (4.9)
\end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality follows from the Hölder inequality and the third one follows from the inequality (4.3). Thus, first statement of the Proposition 2.2 is proved.

(ii) To prove [ii] we follow an idea from [3] and [4].

Lemma 4.1. *Let $g : [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}$ be measurable function such that $\int\limits_0^T |g(s)|\,ds < \infty$. Then for any $\delta > 0$ there exists a partition $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of interval $[0,T]$ such that $\int\limits_{T_i}^{T_{i+1}} |g(s)|\,ds < \delta$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$.*

Proof. Follows easily from [28, Theorem 8.17]. □

Existence of a local solution. Let $X_T = \{F \in L^2(0,T;D(A)) : F' \in L^2(0,T;H)\}$ be a Banach space endowed with a norm

$$|F|^2_{X_T} = \nu^2 |F|^2_{L^2(0,T;D(A))} + |F'|^2_{L^2(0,T;H)}.$$

We will prove the following result.

Lemma 4.2. *If v satisfies assumption* (2.5)*, $z \in X_T$ then $B(v(\cdot), z) \in L^2(0,T;H)$.*

In view of Proposition 1.4 and the above Lemma, a map $\Phi_T : X_T \to X_T$ defined by $\Phi_T(z) = G$ iff G is the unique solution solution of the problem

$$G' + \nu A G = f - B(v(t), z),\ G(0) = F_0, \tag{4.10}$$

is well defined.

Proof of Lemma 4.2. From inequality (1.21) we have

$$\|B(v(\cdot),z)\|^2_{L^2(0,T;H)} \le C_1(\varepsilon,\delta_0)\|z\|^2_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{H}^2(D))} + C_2(\varepsilon,\delta_0)|z|^2_{C([0,T];V)}|v|_{L^{2+\frac{6}{\delta_0}}(0,T;\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta_0}(D))}.$$

Thus the result follows from Lemma 1.1. ☐

We will show that there exists $T_1 \le T$ such that Φ_{T_1} is a strict contraction. By Proposition 1.4 and inequality (1.21) we have, for all $t \in [0,T]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Phi_t(z_1) - \Phi_t(z_2)\|^2_{X_t} &\le C_1\|B(v, z_1 - z_2)\|^2_{L^2(0,t;H)} \le C_1\varepsilon^{1+\delta_0/3}|z_1 - z_2|^2_{L^2(0,t;D(A))} \\
&+ C_1\frac{C_\delta}{\varepsilon^{1+3/\delta}}|z_1 - z_2|^2_{C(0,t;V)}|v|_{L^{2+6/\delta_0}(0,T;\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta_0}(D))} \\
&\le C_1\varepsilon^{1+\delta_0/3}|z_1 - z_2|^2_{X_t} \\
&+ C_1\frac{C_\delta}{\varepsilon^{1+3/\delta}}|z_1 - z_2|^2_{X_t}|v|_{L^{2+6/\delta_0}(0,t;\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta_0}(D))}).
\end{aligned}$$

Now let us choose $\varepsilon > 0$ that $C_1\varepsilon^{1+\delta_0/3} = 1/2$ and denote $K = C_1\frac{C_\delta}{\varepsilon^{1+3/\delta}}$. We have

$$\|\Phi_t(z_1) - \Phi_t(z_2)\|^2_{X_t} \le (1/2 + K|v|_{L^{2+6/\delta_0}(0,t;\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta_0}(D))})|z_1 - z_2|^2_{X_t}, t \in [0,T]. \tag{4.11}$$

Choose $t = T_1$ such that $|v|_{L^{2+6/\delta_0}(0,T_1;\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta_0}(D))} \le d = \frac{1}{3K}$ then Φ_{T_1} is an affine contraction map and by the Banach Fixed Point Theorem there exists a fixed point $F \in X_{T_1}$ of Φ_{T_1}. Obviously F is a solution of problem (2.1-2.2) on interval $[0, T_1]$.

Existence of a global solution. From Lemma 4.1 and assumption (2.5) it follows that we can find partition $0 = T_0 < T_1 < \ldots < T_{k-1} < T_k = T$ of interval $[0,T]$ such that $|v|_{L^{2+6/\delta_0}(T_i,T_{i+1};\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta_0}(D))} < \frac{1}{3K}$, $i = 0, \ldots, k-1$. Therefore, we can use the inequality (4.11) and the Banach Fixed Point Theorem iteratively to define global solution.

(iii) To proof the statement in part [iii] we will use a method suggested by Temam in [34]. We will consider only the case $k = 1$. General case follows by induction. Let us recall that

$$A(t) = \nu A + B(v(t), \cdot).$$

By differentiating the equation (2.1-2.2) w.r.t. t (in weak sense) we find that F' is a solution of

$$\frac{dF'}{dt} = -A(t)F' + B(v'(t), F) + f', t \in [0,T].$$

Now from the assumptions of the statement in part [ii] it follows that it is enough to prove that $B(v'(\cdot), F) \in L^2(0,T;H)$ and then use the already proven statement in part [i]. From inequality (1.21) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int\limits_0^T |B(v'(t),F)|_H^2\,dt &\le \varepsilon^{1+\delta_0/3}\int\limits_0^T \|\operatorname{curl} F\|_V^2\,dt + \frac{C_{\delta_0}}{\varepsilon^{1+3/\delta_0}}\int\limits_0^T |v'(t)|^2_{\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta_0}(D)}|\operatorname{curl} F|_H^2\,dt \\ &\le \varepsilon^{1+\delta_0/3}|F|_{L^2(0,T;D(A))} + \frac{C_{\delta_0}}{\varepsilon^{1+3/\delta_0}}\|F\|^2_{C([0,T];V)}\int\limits_0^T |v'(t)|^{2+\frac{6}{\delta_0}}_{\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta_0}(D)}\,dt < \infty.\end{aligned}$$

Note that $F \in C([0,T];V)$ by Lemma 1.1.

□

Proof of Proposition 2.4. The proof is very similar to the proof of the previous Proposition.

(i) The proof will be divided into three parts a), b), c).

a) First we consider a special case when $v \in L^\infty(0,T;\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta_0}(D))$. We will use Theorem 1.3 with Gelfand triple $V \subset H \cong H' \subset V'$. Denote $B(t) = \nu A + \operatorname{curl}(v(t) \times \cdot)$.We need to check whether the conditions (1.5) and (1.6) are satisfied. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle B(t)f, f\rangle_{V',V} &= \nu\tilde{a}(f,f) + \langle \operatorname{curl}(v(t)\times f), f\rangle_{V',V} \\ &= \nu\tilde{a}(f,f) + \langle v(t)\times f, \operatorname{curl} f\rangle_{V',V},\ t\in[0,T],\ f\in V. \qquad (4.12)\end{aligned}$$

Now we can use the inequality (4.2) and the continuity of the form $\tilde{a}$ to get

$$\|B(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(V,V')} \le C\nu + C_2|v(t)|_{\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta_0}(D)}.$$

The coercivity condition (1.6) can be proved in the same way as in the proof of Proposition 2.2. Therefore, by Theorem 1.3 first statement of the Proposition is proved in our special case.

b) To prove Proposition in the general case we will, as before, show an energy inequality for solutions of the problem (2.3-2.4) when $v \in L^\infty(0,T;\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta_0}(D))$. From Step **(a)** we know that there exists a unique solution $G \in L^2(0,T;V)$ such that $G' \in L^2(0,T;V')$. Then, from Lemma 1.1 it follows that $G \in C([0,T];H)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}|G|_H^2 &= -\nu\|G\|_V^2 + \langle f, G\rangle_{V',V} - \langle v\times G, \operatorname{curl} G\rangle_{V',V} \\ &= -\nu\|G\|_V^2 + \langle f, G\rangle_{V',V} + (\operatorname{curl} G, v\times G)_H \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by the Young inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} |G(t)|_H^2 \quad &+ \quad 2\nu\int_0^t |G(s)|_V^2\,ds - \int_0^t (\operatorname{curl} G(s), v(s)\times G(s))_H\,ds \\ &= \quad |G(0)|_H^2 + \int_0^t \langle f(s), G(s)\rangle_{V',V}\,ds \\ &\le \quad |G(0)|_H^2 + \frac{\nu}{2}\int_0^t |G(s)|_V^2\,ds + \frac{C}{\nu}\int_0^t |f(s)|_{V'}^2\,ds, t\in[0,T]. \end{aligned}$$

The term $\int_0^t (\operatorname{curl} G(s), v(s)\times G(s))_H\,ds$ can be estimated in the same way as in Proposition 2.2, see (4.4). Thus we infer that G satisfies the following inequality, for $t\in[0,T]$,

$$\begin{aligned} |G(t)|_H^2 \quad &+ \quad \nu\int_0^t \|G(s)\|_V^2\,ds \le K_1\left(|G(0)|_H^2 + \frac{C}{\nu}\int_0^t |f(s)|_{V'}^2\,ds\right) \\ &\left(1+\int_0^t |v(s)|^{2+6/\delta_0}_{\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta_0}}\,ds\right) e^{C(\delta_0,\nu)\int_0^t |v(s)|^{2+6/\delta_0}_{\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta_0}}\,ds}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

c) The general case. Now, let $\{v_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be an $L^\infty(0,T;\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta_0}(D))$-valued sequence of functions such that $v_n \to v \in L^{2+\frac{6}{\delta_0}}(0,T;\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta_0}(D)), n\to\infty$ in $L^{2+\frac{6}{\delta_0}}(0,T;\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta_0}(D))$. Let $\{G_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be corresponding sequence of solutions of the problem (2.3-2.4). Then from (4.13) it follows that sequence $\{G_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ lie in a bounded set of $L^\infty(0,T;H)\cap L^2(0,T;V)$. Using the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 2.2 we can find subsequence $\{G_{n'}\}_{n'=1}^\infty$ weakly convergent to $G\in L^\infty(0,T;H)\cap L^2(0,T;V)$ which solves the problem (2.3-2.4) in a weak sense. Moreover, it follows from inequality (4.13), that the function G satisfies energy inequality (2.9). Uniqueness of the solution of the problem (2.3-2.4) follows from the energy inequality (2.9). The only difference with the previous Proposition is that now we can prove that $G' \in L^2(0,T,V')$. Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|G'\|^2_{L^2(0,T,V')} &= \|BG\|^2_{L^2(0,T,V')} \\
&\leq \int_0^T |\nu AG + \operatorname{curl}(v(t) \times G(t))|^2_{V'}\, dt \\
&\leq \nu^2 \|G\|^2_{L^2(0,T,V)} + \int_0^T |v(t) \times G(t)|^2_H\, dt \\
&\leq \nu^2 \|G\|^2_{L^2(0,T,V)} + \int_0^T (C_1 |G(t)|^2_V + C_2 |v(t)|^{2+6/\delta_0}_{\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta_0}} |G(t)|^2_H)\, dt \\
&\leq C_3 \|G\|^2_{L^2(0,T,V)} + C_2 \|G\|^2_{L^\infty(0,T,H)} |v|_{L^{2+\frac{6}{\delta_0}}(0,T;\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta_0}(D))} < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the first statement of Proposition is proved. Statements [ii] and [iii] can be proved in the same way as in the proof of Proposition 2.2.

(ii) *Existence of a local solution.* Let $X_T = \{F \in L^2(0,T;D(A)) : F' \in L^2(0,T;H)\}$ be a Banach space endowed with a norm

$$|F|^2_{X_T} = \nu^2 |F|^2_{L^2(0,T;D(A))} + |F'|^2_{L^2(0,T;H)}.$$

We will prove the following result.

Lemma 4.3. *If* $v \in L^2(0,T;V)$, $z \in X_T$ *then* $\operatorname{curl}(v(t) \times z) \in L^2(0,T;H)$.

In view of Proposition 1.4 and the above Lemma, a map $\Phi_T : X_T \to X_T$ defined by $\Phi_T(z) = G$ iff G is the unique solution of the problem

$$G' + \nu AG = f - \operatorname{curl}(v(t) \times z), G(0) = F_0 \in V \tag{4.14}$$

is well defined.

Proof of Lemma 4.3. We have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\operatorname{curl}(v(t) \times z)\|^2_{L^2(0,T;H)} &\leq C(\|z\nabla v\|^2_{L^2(0,T;H)} + \|v\nabla z\|^2_{L^2(0,T;H)}) \\
&\leq C|z|_{C([0,T];V)} |v|_{L^2(0,T;V)} \qquad (4.15)
\end{aligned}$$

and the result follows from Lemma 1.1. □

Now we will show that there exists $T_1 \in (0,T]$ such that Φ_{T_1} is a strict contraction. Let us fix $t \in (0,T]$ and take $z_1, z_2 \in X_T$. Then, by Proposition 1.4 and Lemma 1.12, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Phi_t(z_1) - \Phi_t(z_2)\|^2_{X_t} &\leq C_1\| \operatorname{curl}(v(t) \times (z_1 - z_2))\|^2_{L^2(0,t;H)} \\ &\leq C|z_1 - z_2|^2_{C(0,t;V)}|v|^2_{L^2(0,t;V)} \leq C|z_1 - z_2|^2_{X_t}|v|^2_{L^2(0,t;V)}.\end{aligned}$$

Let us choose $T_1 \in (0,T]$ such that $C|v|_{L^2(0,T_1;V)} < 1/2$. Then Φ_{T_1} is a strict contraction map and hence by the Banach Fixed Point Theorem there exists a unique $F \in X_{T_1}$ that is a fixed point of Φ_{T_1}. By the definition of the Φ_T it follows that $F \in X_{T_1}$ is a solution of problem (2.1-2.2) on interval $[0,T_1]$. Notice also that $F(T_1) \in V$. Therefore, the map Φ_T with initial data $F(T_1)$ is well defined on interval $[T_1,T]$.

Existence of a global solution. From Lemma 4.1 and assumption (2.5) it follows that we can find a partition $0 = T_0 < T_1 < \ldots < T_{k-1} < T_k = T$ of the interval $[0,T]$ such that $|v|_{L^2(T_i,T_{i+1};V)} < 1/2$, $i = 0,\ldots,k-1$. Therefore, we can use inequality (4.11) and the Banach Fixed Point Theorem iteratively to define a global solution (2.3-2.4).

(iii) We will consider only the case $k = 1$. General case follows by induction. We differentiate equation (2.3-2.4) w.r.t. t (in the weak sense) and get an equation for the function G':

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial G'}{\partial t}(t) &= -\nu A G'(t) - \operatorname{curl} G'(t) \times v(t) - \operatorname{curl}(G(t) \times v'(t)) + f'(t) \\ G'(0) &= -\nu A G_0 - \operatorname{curl} v(0) \times G_0 + f(0), t \in [0,T].\end{aligned}$$

Now from the assumptions of the statement [ii] it follows that it is enough to prove that $\operatorname{curl}(G \times v'(t)) \in L^2(0,T;H)$ and then use the already proven statement in part [i]. By the inequality (4.15) we have

$$\| \operatorname{curl}(G \times v')\|^2_{L^2(0,T;H)} \leq C|G|_{C([0,T];V)}|v'|_{L^2(0,T;V)} < \infty.$$

Note that $G \in C([0,T];V)$ by Lemma 1.1.

□

Proof of Theorem 2.7. 1^{st} Step. Fix $\delta_0 > 0$. Let us prove the theorem in the case of smooth initial data $F_0 \in C^\infty(\overline{D}) \cap H$ and vector field $v \in C_b^\infty([0,T] \times \overline{D}) \cap L^{2+\frac{6}{\delta_0}}(0,T;\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta_0}(D))$. For each $\varepsilon > 0$ we can find $F_0^\varepsilon \in C^\infty(\overline{D}) \cap H$, $G_0^\varepsilon \in C^\infty(\overline{D}) \cap H$, $v^\varepsilon \in C_b^\infty([0,T] \times \overline{D}) \cap L^{2+\frac{6}{\delta_0}}(0,T;\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta_0}(D))$ such that as $\varepsilon \to 0$, $F_0^\varepsilon \to F_0$ in H, $G_0^\varepsilon \to G_0$ in H and $v^\varepsilon \to v$ in $L^\infty(0,T;\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta_0}(D))$. It follows from Corollaries 2.5 and 2.6 that there exists solutions

$F^\varepsilon \in C([0,T];H)\cap C^\infty((0,T]\times\overline{D})$, $G^\varepsilon \in C([0,T];H)\cap C^\infty((0,T]\times\overline{D})$) that are solutions to the following problems

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial F^\varepsilon}{\partial t}(t) &= -\nu A F^\varepsilon(t) - P(v^\varepsilon(t)\times \operatorname{curl} F^\varepsilon(t))\\
F^\varepsilon(0,\cdot) &= F_0^\varepsilon, t\in[0,T]\\
\frac{\partial G^\varepsilon}{\partial t}(t) &= -\nu A G^\varepsilon(t) + \operatorname{curl}\,(v^\varepsilon(T-t)\times G^\varepsilon(t))\\
G^\varepsilon(0,\cdot) &= G_0^\varepsilon, t\in[0,T]
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for all $t\in(0,T]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}(F^\varepsilon(t),G^\varepsilon(T-t))_{\mathbb{L}^2(D)}\\
=\ &(\frac{d}{dt}F^\varepsilon(t),G^\varepsilon(T-t))_{\mathbb{L}^2(D)} - (F^\varepsilon(t),\frac{d}{dt}G^\varepsilon(T-t))_{\mathbb{L}^2(D)}\\
=\ &\nu(\mathrm{P}\triangle F^\varepsilon(t),G^\varepsilon(T-t))_{\mathbb{L}^2(D)} - (\mathrm{P}(v(t)\times\operatorname{curl} F^\varepsilon(t)),G^\varepsilon(T-t))_{\mathbb{L}^2(D)}\\
-\ &\nu(F^\varepsilon(t),\mathrm{P}\triangle G^\varepsilon(T-t))_{\mathbb{L}^2(D)} - (F^\varepsilon(t),\operatorname{curl}\,(v(t)\times G^\varepsilon(T-t)))_{\mathbb{L}^2(D)}\\
=\ &K_1(t)-K_2(t)-K_3(t)-K_4(t)
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from the fact that $\operatorname{div} F^\varepsilon = \operatorname{div} G^\varepsilon = 0$, $F^\varepsilon|_{\partial D} = G^\varepsilon|_{\partial D} = 0$ and the integration by parts formula that $(F^\varepsilon,\nabla\psi)_{\mathbb{L}^2(D)} = (G^\varepsilon,\nabla\psi)_{\mathbb{L}^2(D)} = 0$ for any $\psi\in C^\infty(\overline{D})$. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
K_1(t) &= (\mathrm{P}\triangle F^\varepsilon(t),G^\varepsilon(T-t))_{\mathbb{L}^2(D)} = (\triangle F^\varepsilon(t),G^\varepsilon(T-t))_{\mathbb{L}^2(D)},\\
K_2(t) &= (\mathrm{P}(v(t)\times\operatorname{curl} F^\varepsilon(t)),G^\varepsilon(T-t))_{\mathbb{L}^2(D)}\\
&= (v(t)\times\operatorname{curl} F^\varepsilon(t),G^\varepsilon(T-t))_{\mathbb{L}^2(D)}, t\in(0,T] \qquad (4.16)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$K_3(t) = (F^\varepsilon(t),\mathrm{P}\triangle G^\varepsilon(T-t))_{\mathbb{L}^2(D)} = (F^\varepsilon(t),\triangle G^\varepsilon(T-t))_{\mathbb{L}^2(D)}, t\in(0,T]$$

Therefore, by the Green Formula we get $K_1(t)-K_3(t)=0, t\in(0,T]$. From (1.1), (4.16) and the formula

$$\int_D u\operatorname{curl} v\,dx - \int_D v\operatorname{curl} u\,dx = \int_{\partial D}(u\times v,\overrightarrow{n})\,d\sigma$$

we infer that

$$\begin{aligned}
K_2(t) &= (v(t) \times \operatorname{curl} F^\varepsilon(t), G^\varepsilon(T-t))_{\mathbb{L}^2(D)} \\
&= -(\operatorname{curl} F^\varepsilon(t) \times v(t), G^\varepsilon(T-t))_{\mathbb{L}^2(D)} \\
&= -(\operatorname{curl} F^\varepsilon(t), v(t) \times G^\varepsilon(T-t))_{\mathbb{L}^2(D)} = -K_4(t), t \in (0,T].
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\frac{d}{dt}(F^\varepsilon(t), G^\varepsilon(T-t))_{\mathbb{L}^2(D)} = 0, t \in (0,T]$. Also, by the regularity of F^ε, G^ε it follows that $(F^\varepsilon(\cdot), G^\varepsilon(T-\cdot))_{\mathbb{L}^2(D)} \in C^\infty((0,T]) \cap C([0,T])$. As a result we get equality (2.13).

2^{nd} step. Let us suppose that we have showed that $F_\varepsilon(t) \to F(t), t \in [0,T]$ in weak topology of H and $G_\varepsilon \to G$ in $C([0,T],H)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|(F(t), G(T-t)) &- (F^\varepsilon(t), G^\varepsilon(T-t))| \\
&= |(F - F^\varepsilon(t), G(T-t)) + (F^\varepsilon(t), G - G^\varepsilon(T-t))| \\
&\le |(F - F^\varepsilon(t), G(T-t))| + |F^\varepsilon(t)|_H |G - G^\varepsilon(T-t)|_H \\
&\le |(F - F^\varepsilon(t), G(T-t))| + |F_0^\varepsilon|_H \sup_{s\in[0,T]} |G - G^\varepsilon(s)|_H \overset{\varepsilon\to 0}{\to} 0, t \in [0,T]
\end{aligned}$$

i.e. $(F(t), G(T-t))_H = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}(F^\varepsilon(t), G^\varepsilon(T-t))_H, t \in [0,T]$ and the result follows from first step. In order to show weak convergence of $F_\varepsilon(t)$ to $F(t)$, $t \in [0,T]$ let us first notice that by the Banach-Alaoglu Theorem, F_ε converges to F weakly-* in $L^\infty(0,T;H)$. The proof of this claim can be performed in exactly the same as the proof of the convergence of $F_n \to F$ in Proposition 2.2). Also, we have from the Banach-Alaoglu Theorem that $F^\varepsilon(t)$ weakly-* convergent to some $\Psi(t) \in H, t \in [0,T]$. We will show that $\Psi = F$. Fix $\xi \in V$. Let us denote $g(t) = (\Psi(t) - F(t), \xi)_H$, $t \in [0,T]$. Since V is dense in H it is enough to show that $g = 0$. Now we will show that $g \in C([0,T])$. From the part (i) of Proposition 2.2 we infer that $F \in C([0,T],V')$. Thus, $(F(\cdot),\xi)_H = \langle F(\cdot), \xi\rangle_{V',V} \in$

$C([0,T])$. Furthermore, for $t \in [0,T]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&|(F_\varepsilon(t),\xi) - (F_\varepsilon(s),\xi)| \le \int_s^t |\langle F'_\varepsilon(r),\xi\rangle|\,dr \qquad (4.17)\\
&\le \Big(\int_0^T |\langle F'_\varepsilon(r),\xi\rangle|^{1+\frac{3}{2\delta_0+3}}\,dr\Big)^{\frac{2\delta_0+3}{2\delta_0+6}}|t-s|^{\frac{3}{2\delta_0+6}}\\
&\le |F'_\varepsilon|_{L^{1+\frac{3}{2\delta_0+3}}(0,T;V')}|\xi|_V|t-s|^{\frac{3}{2\delta_0+6}}\\
&\le C|F_\varepsilon|_{L^2(0,T;V)}\Big(C_1(\nu,T,\delta_0)+|v_\varepsilon|^{\frac{2\delta_0+6}{2\delta_0+3}}_{L^{2+\frac{6}{\delta_0}}(0,T;\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta_0}(D))}\Big)^{\frac{2\delta_0+3}{2\delta_0+6}}|\xi|_V|t-s|^{\frac{3}{2\delta_0+6}}\\
&\le C|F^0_\varepsilon|_H\big(C(\nu,T,\delta_0)+|v_\varepsilon|_{L^{2+\frac{6}{\delta_0}}(0,T;\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta_0}(D))}\big)|\xi|_V|t-s|^{\frac{3}{2\delta_0+6}}\\
&\le C|F^0|_H\big(C(\nu,T,\delta_0)+|v|_{L^{2+\frac{6}{\delta_0}}(0,T;\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta_0}(D))}\big)|\xi|_V|t-s|^{\frac{3}{2\delta_0+6}}, 0<s\le t<T.
\end{aligned}$$

In the above sequence of inequalities, the first one follows because $(F_\varepsilon(\cdot),\xi) \in C^\infty((0,T))$, the second one from the Hölder inequality and the fourth one from the inequality (4.9).

Taking the $\varepsilon \searrow 0$ limit in (4.17) we immediately get

$$|(\Psi(t),\xi) - (\Psi(s),\xi)| \le C(F_0,v,\nu,\delta_0,T)|\xi|_V|t-s|^{\frac{3}{2\delta_0+6}}, \tag{4.18}$$

where $C(F_0,v,\nu,\delta_0,T) = C|F^0|_H(C(\nu,T,\delta_0) + |v|_{L^{2+\frac{6}{\delta_0}}(0,T;\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta_0}(D))})$. Hence, $\Psi \in C([0,T],V')$ and, consequently, $g \in C([0,T])$. Therefore it is enough to prove that $g(t)=0$ for a.a. $t\in[0,T]$. We have already observed that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\searrow 0}\int_0^T (F^\varepsilon(s)-F(s),q(s))_H\,ds = 0, \text{ for all } q\in L^1(0,T;H). \tag{4.19}$$

Take any $f \in L^1(0,T)$ and put $q=\xi f$, $g_\varepsilon = (F^\varepsilon(\cdot)-F(\cdot),\xi)_H$. Then condition (4.19) can be rewritten as follows

$$\int_0^T g_\varepsilon(s)f(s)\,ds \to 0, \text{ for all } f\in L^1(0,T). \tag{4.20}$$

On the other hand, it follows from definition of g that g_ε is convergent to g pointwise. Let us show that (4.20) and pointwise convergence of g_ε imply that $g=0$ a.e.. By the Egorov Theorem, see e.g. [1, Theorem 2.2.1, p. 110], for any $l>0$ there exists a measurable set $A_l\subset[0,T]$ such that $\lambda(A_l)<l$ and $g_\varepsilon\to g$ uniformly on $[0,T]\setminus A_l$. Here λ denotes the

Lebesgue measure. Hence by (4.20) we infer that $g(t) = 0$, for a.e. $t \in [0,T] \setminus A_l$ and consequently $g(t) = 0$ for a.e. $t \in [0,T]$.

Thus, it remains to show that $G_\varepsilon \to G$ in $C([0,T],H)$. Denote $R^\varepsilon = G^\varepsilon - G$. Then R^ε is a solution to the following problem.

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial R^\varepsilon}{\partial t}(t) &= -\nu A R^\varepsilon(t) + \mathrm{curl}(v^\varepsilon(T-t) \times R^\varepsilon(t)) + \mathrm{curl}((v^\varepsilon(T-t) - v(T-t)) \times G(t)) \\
R^\varepsilon(0,\cdot) &= G_0^\varepsilon - G_0, t \in [0,T].
\end{aligned}$$

Applying the energy inequality (2.9) to the function R^ε we infer that for any $\tau > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
|R^\varepsilon|^2_{C([0,T];H)} &\leq C(|v^\varepsilon|_{L^{2+\frac{6}{\delta_0}}(0,T;\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta_0}(D))})(|G_0^\varepsilon - G_0|_H^2 + |\,\mathrm{curl}((v-v^\varepsilon) \times G)|^2_{L^2(0,T;V')}) \\
&\leq C(|v^\varepsilon|_{L^{2+\frac{6}{\delta_0}}(0,T;\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta_0}(D))})(|G_0^\varepsilon - G_0|_H^2 + |(v-v^\varepsilon) \times G|^2_{L^2(0,T;H)}) \\
&\leq C(|v|_{L^{2+\frac{6}{\delta_0}}(0,T;\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta_0}(D))})(|G_0^\varepsilon - G_0|_H^2 + \tau^{1+\delta_0/3} \int_0^T |G(s)|_V^2\, ds + \\
&\qquad \frac{C_{\delta_0}}{\tau^{1+3/\delta_0}} |G|^2_{C([0,T];H)} |v^\varepsilon - v|_{L^{2+\frac{6}{\delta_0}}(0,T;\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta_0}(D))}), \qquad (4.21)
\end{aligned}$$

where last inequality of (4.21) follows from Lemma 1.12. Now, from the convergences $v^\varepsilon \to v$ in $L^{2+\frac{6}{\delta_0}}(0,T;\mathbb{L}^{3+\delta_0}(D))$, $G_0^\varepsilon \to G_0$ in H and inequalities (4.21) we get the result.

□

REFERENCES

[1] V.I. Bogachev, MEASURE THEORY. VOL. I, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2007.

[2] F. Bouchut, F. James, S. Mancini, *Uniqueness and weak stability for multi-dimensional transport equations with one-sided Lipschitz coefficient,* Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5) **4** (2005), no. 1, 1–25.

[3] Z. Brzeźniak, *On analytic dependence of solutions of Navier-Stokes equations with respect to exterior force and initial velocity*, Univ. Iagel. Acta Math. No. 28 (1991), 111–124.

[4] Z. Brzeźniak and Y. Li, *Asymptotic compactness and absorbing sets for 2D Stochastic Navier-Stokes equations on some unbounded domains*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **358** (2006), no. 12, 5587–5629.

[5] B. Busnello, F. Flandoli, M. Romito, *A probabilistic representation for the vorticity of a three-dimensional viscous fluid and for general systems of parabolic equations*, Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2) **48** (2005), no. 2, 295–336.

[6] P. Constantin , G. Iyer, *A stochastic Lagrangian representation of the three-dimensional incompressible Navier-Stokes equations*, Comm. Pure and Applied Mathematics, **61** (2008), no. 3, 330–345.

[7] R. J. DiPerna, P.-L. Lions, *Ordinary differential equations, transport theory and Sobolev spaces*, Invent. Math. **98** (1989), no. 3, 511–547.

[8] K. Engø, *On the BCH-formula in* $\mathfrak{so}(3)$, BIT. **41** (2001), no. 3, 629–632.

[9] G. Falkovich, K. Gawedzki, M. Vergassola, *Particles and fields in fluid turbulence,* Rev. Mod. Phys. **73** (2001), 913–975.

[10] A. Friedman, PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS, Holt, Rinehart and Winston Inc., New York-Montreal, Que.-London, 1969.

[11] D. Fujiwara, H. Morimoto, *An* L_r*-theorem of the Helmholtz decomposition of vector fields*. J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. **24** (1977), no. 3, 685–700.

[12] L. Hörmander, THE ANALYSIS OF LINEAR PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS I, 2nd ed., Springer, Berlin, 1990.

[13] N. Ikeda and S. Watanabe, STOCHASTIC DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS AND DIFFUSION PROCESSES, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1981.

[14] A. N. Kolmogorov, S. V. Fomin, ELEMENTS OF THE THEORY OF FUNCTIONS AND FUNCTIONAL ANALYSIS(in russian), Nauka, Moscow, 4th edition, 1976.

[15] N. V. Krylov, *On Kolmogorovs equations for finite dimensional diffusions*, in Stochastic PDEs and Kolmogorov equations in infinite dimensions (ed. G. Da Prato), Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. **1715**. Springer, 1999.

[16] H. Kunita, STOCHASTIC FLOWS AND STOCHASTIC DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 24. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.

[17] O. A. Ladyzhenskaya, *On uniqueness and smoothness of generalized solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations*, Zapiski Nauchn. Seminar. POMI, **5** (1967), 169–185.

[18] Y. Le Jan, O. Raimond, *Integration of Brownian vector fields*, Ann. Probab. **30** (2002), no. 2, 826–873.

[19] J.L. Lions and E. Magenes, NON-HOMOGENEOUS BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS AND APPLICATIONS. VOL. I, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], 181. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1972.

[20] J.L. Lions and E. Magenes, NON-HOMOGENEOUS BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS AND APPLICATIONS. VOL. II, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], 182. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1972.

[21] S. Maniglia, *Probabilistic representation and uniqueness results for measure-valued solutions of transport equations,* J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) **87** (2007), no. 6, 601–626.

[22] C. Marchioro, M. Pulvirenti, MATHEMATICAL THEORY OF INCOMPRESSIBLE NONVISCOUS FLUIDS, Applied Mathematical Sciences, 96. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994.

[23] R. Mikulevicius, *On the Cauchy problem for stochastic Stokes equations,* SIAM J. Math. Anal., **34** (2002), 121–141.

[24] H. K. Moffat, *The degree of knottedness of tangled vortex lines*, J. Fluid Mech. **35** (1969), 117–129.

[25] M. Neklyudov, *Controllable stochastic dynamical system equivalent to the Navier-Stokes equation*, Russ. J. Math. Phys., **12** (2005), No. 2, 232–240.

[26] M. Neklyudov, *Equivalence of Navier-Stokes equation and infinite dimensional Burgers equation*(Russian), Fundamental and Applied Mathematics, **12** (2006), No. 5, 109–120; translated in Journal of Mathematical Sciences, **150** (2008), No. 6, 2531–2539.

[27] G. Prodi, *Un teorema di unicitper le equazioni di Navier-Stokes*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **48** (1959), 173–182.

[28] W. Rudin, REAL AND COMPLEX ANALYSIS, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1987.

[29] J. Serrin, THE INITIAL VALUE PROBLEM FOR THE NAVIER-STOKES EQUATIONS. NONLINEAR PROBLEMS. Madison, Wis. pp. 69–98 Univ. of Wisconsin Press, Madison, Wis., 1963.

[30] M. Spivak, CALCULUS ON MANIFOLDS. A MODERN APPROACH TO CLASSICAL THEOREMS OF ADVANCED CALCULUS. W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York-Amsterdam, 1965.

[31] M. Spivak, A COMPREHENSIVE INTRODUCTION TO DIFFERENTIAL GEOMETRY. VOL. ONE. Published by M. Spivak, Brandeis Univ., Waltham, Mass. 1970.

[32] H. Tanabe, EQUATIONS OF EVOLUTION, Monographs and studies in mathematics, 6. Pitman Publishing Ltd., London, 1979.

[33] R.Temam, NAVIER-STOKES EQUATIONS. THEORY AND NUMERICAL ANALYSIS, AMS Chelsea Publishing, Providence, RI, 2001.

[34] R. Temam, *Behaviour at time* $t = 0$ *of the solutions of semilinear evolution equations*,J. Differential Equations, **43** (1982), no. 1, 73–92.

[35] H. Triebel, INTERPOLATION THEORY, FUNCTION SPACES, DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS., North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-New York, 1978.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF YORK, HESLINGTON, YORK YO10 5DD, UK
E-mail address: `zb500@york.ac.uk`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF NEW SOUTH WALES, SYDNEY 2052, AUSTRALIA
E-mail address: `misha.neklyudov@gmail.com`